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## 1 Introduction, probability, system

www.fd.cvut.cz/personal/nagyivan + Mathematical models ...

### 1.1 Revision of statistics

- Variable $\times$ Random variable (continuous, discrete)

Remark: Variables are (i) continuous, (ii) discretized (ordinal), (iii) discrete (nominal) can be ordered according to something (frequently money, some loss).

- Distribution (pf, pdf)
- discrete: $f(x) \equiv P(X=x)$
- continuous: $f(x) \equiv \lim P\left(O_{x}\right) / m\left(O_{x}\right)$ for $m\left(O_{x}\right) \rightarrow 0$, where $m\left(O_{x}\right)$ is a measure of the neighborhood $O_{x}$ around the point $x$
- Random vector, joint; marginal; conditional distribution draw continuous and discrete uniform distribution for $X=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{2}\right)
$$

Example
Discrete case
$f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$

| $x_{1} \backslash x_{2}$ | 1 | 2 | $f\left(x_{1}\right)$ | $f\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | $\frac{1}{4} \quad \frac{3}{4}$ |
| 2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.6 | $\begin{array}{ll}\frac{4}{3} & \frac{1}{3}\end{array}$ |
| $f\left(x_{2}\right)$ | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| $f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{2}\right)$ |  |  |  | $f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}\right)$ |
|  | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ |  | 0.20 .2 |
|  | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ |  | $0.3-0.3$ |

Continuous case

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1}, x_{2} \in(0,1) \\
f\left(x_{1}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} 6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} d x_{2}=3 x_{1}^{2} \\
f\left(x_{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} 6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} d x_{1}=2 x_{2} \\
f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{2}\right)=\frac{6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{2 x_{2}}=3 x_{1}^{2} \\
f\left(x_{2} \mid x_{1}\right)=\frac{6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}}{3 x_{1}^{2}}=2 x_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

As it is $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right) f\left(x_{2}\right)$ the variables are independent.

- Characteristics

$$
E[X]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
E\left[x_{1}\right] \\
E\left[x_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right], \quad C[X]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D\left[x_{1}\right] & \operatorname{cov}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \\
\operatorname{cov}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] & D\left[x_{2}\right]
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Random process is random variable indexed by time

| time $\backslash$ values | discrete | continuous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| discrete | Markov chains | random sequences |
| continuous | queues | x |

## - Categorical distribution

| $x$ | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(x)$ | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n}$ |

where $p_{1} \geq 0, \sum p_{i}=1$. Each realization has its probability.

- Normal distribution

$$
f(X)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{(2 \pi)^{n}|R|}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\prime} R^{-1}(x-\mu)\right\}
$$

### 1.2 System and its variables

System is a part of reality we are interested in, on which we measure data and which we want to learn about to be able to predict its behavior or influence it.


- output - the modeled variable, after application of the control it can be measured
- input - variable that influences the output and that can be fully manipulated by us
- disturbance - can be measured, cannot be influenced
- state - is influenced by input, influences output, cannot be measured
- noise - can be neither measured nor predicted

Part I
Stochastic Systems

## 2 Regression and categorical models

### 2.1 Regression model

$$
y_{t}=\psi_{t}^{\prime} \Theta+e_{t}
$$

- $y_{t}$ modeled variable (output) at time $t$
- $\psi_{t}$ regression vector, containing samples of variables influencing the output
- $\Theta$ model parameters (regression coefficients $\theta$ and noise variance $r$ )
- $e_{t}$ noise, with zero expectation, constant variance, independent of variables in regression vector $=$ sequence of independent and identically distributed r.v. $=$ i.i.d.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{t} & =\left[u_{t}, y_{t-1}, u_{t-1} \cdots y_{t-n}, u_{t-n}, 1\right]^{\prime} \\
\theta & =\left[b_{0}, a_{1}, b_{1}, \cdots a_{n}, b_{n}, k\right]^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Model in detail

$$
y_{t}=b_{0} u_{t}+a_{1} y_{t-1}+b_{1} u_{t-1}+\cdots+a_{n} y_{t-n}+b_{n} u_{t-n}+k+e_{t}
$$

## Remarks

1. Number of delayed $y$ and $u$ can be different. Number of delayed $y$ is called model order.
2. The term $\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta$ is at time $t$ known constant. Model represents a transformation of $e_{t}$ to $y_{t}$ according to the model equation.
3. If $\psi_{t}$ contains no delayed outputs, the model is static. Otherwise, it is dynamic.
4. $y_{t}=\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta$ represents a difference equation.

A general description of the model as a tool, describing $y_{t}$ as random variable is model distribution

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)
$$

Moments of the model are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right]=E\left[\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta+e_{t}\right]=\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta \equiv \hat{y}_{t} \\
& D\left[y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right]=D\left[\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta+e_{t}\right]=D\left[e_{t}\right]=r
\end{aligned}
$$

## Normal regression model

$$
f\left(e_{t}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi r}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} e_{t}^{2}\right\}
$$

transformation: $y_{t}=\hat{y}_{t}+e_{t} \rightarrow e_{t}=y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}$, Jacobian is 1

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi r}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

## Regression model in the state-space form

The state model is

$$
x_{t}=M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}+w_{t} .
$$

We will demonstrate the transformation for the 2 nd order model

$$
y_{t}=b_{0} u_{t}+a_{1} y_{t-1}+b_{1} u_{t-1}+a_{2} y_{t-2}+b_{2} u_{t-2}+k+e_{t}
$$

The state model is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{t} \\
u_{t} \\
y_{t-1} \\
u_{t-1} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & a_{2} & b_{2} & k \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{t-1} \\
u_{t-1} \\
y_{t-2} \\
u_{t-2} \\
1
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{c}
b_{0} \\
1 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right] u_{t}+\left[\begin{array}{c}
e_{t} \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

The first row is the regression model, the rest is only one-step time shift.
The advantage of the state-space model lies in recurrent computations. Its memory is only one.

## Example

Compute $y_{3}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{1}=b_{0} u_{0}+a_{1} y_{0}+a_{2} y_{-1} \\
y_{2}=b_{0} u_{2}+a_{1}\left(b_{0} u_{0}+a_{1} y_{0}+a_{2} y_{-1}\right)+a_{2} y_{0} \\
y_{3}=\cdots \\
x_{1}=M x_{0}+N u_{1} \\
x_{2}=M\left(M x_{0}+N u_{1}\right)+N u_{2}=M^{2} x_{0}+M N u_{1}+N u_{2} \\
x_{3}=M^{3} x_{0}+M^{2} N u_{1}+M N u_{2}+N u_{3}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the state form we even can write a general recurrent formula

$$
x_{k}=M^{k} x_{0}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} M^{k-i} u_{i}
$$

### 2.2 Categorical model

All variables are discrete - there is a finite number of configurations of data vector $\left[y_{t}^{\prime}, \psi_{t}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$. In the model, each data configuration is assigned its own probability (categorical distribution)

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\Theta_{y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}}
$$

$y_{t}$ - output, $\psi_{t}$ - regression vector, $\Theta$ parameter.
For two-valued variables and $\psi_{t}=\left[u_{t}^{\prime}, y_{t-1}^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}$ the parameters are $\Theta_{y_{t} \mid u_{t}, y_{t-1}}$. The model can be given a form of a table

| $\left[u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right]$ | $y_{t}=1$ | $y_{t}=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 11}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 11}$ |
| 1,2 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 12}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 12}$ |
| 2,1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 21}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 21}$ |
| 2,2 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 22}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 22}$ |

In the left, there are all configurations of the regression vector. The entries of the table denote all configurations of the data vector, each of them contains its parameter.

It holds:

$$
\Theta_{i \mid j k} \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i} \Theta_{i \mid j k}=1, \forall j k
$$

## Remarks

1. The structure of the model is practically general. It is dynamic and possesses control variable.
2. The number of all data configurations is always finite. However, with increasing number of variables and number of values of the variables, its dimension rapidly grows.

## Examples

1. Coin

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y_{t}=1 & y_{t}=2 \\
\hline \Theta_{1} & \Theta_{2}
\end{array}
$$

1. Coin with memory

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right), \quad y \in\{1,2\}
$$

| $y_{t-1}$ | $y_{t}=1$ | $y_{t}=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 1}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 1}$ |
| 1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 2}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 2}$ |

Uncertainty of the regression model is given by the noise variance. Here, it is given by $\Theta$. If its entries are close to 0 or 1 , the model is almost deterministic. If they are near to 0.5 , the model is very uncertain. E.g.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.1, & 0.9 \\
0.9, & 0.1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.4, & 0.6 \\
0.6, & 0.4
\end{array}\right] \text { or }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1, & 0 \\
0, & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0, & 1 \\
1, & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

1. Controlled coin

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid u_{t}\right), \quad y, u \in\{1,2\}
$$

2. Controlled coin with memory

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right), y, u \in\{1,2\}
$$

| $\left[u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right]$ | $y_{t}=1$ | $y_{t}=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| 1,2 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 2,1 | 0.25 | 0.75 |
| 2,2 | 0.1 | 0.9 |

where $y_{t}$ mostly obeys $u_{t}$
Other examples

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

First: $y_{t}$ is the bigger from $u_{t}$ and $y_{t-1}$, second: $y_{t}$ is the opposite to $u_{t}$.

### 2.3 Scilab generations

- generate $y \in\{1,2\}$ so that $P(y=1)=0.3$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y=\left(\operatorname{rand}\left(1,1,,^{\prime}\right)>0.3\right)+1 & \text { (one value) } ; \\
y=\left(\text { rand }\left(1, n d,,^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)>0.3\right)+1 & \text { (nd values }) ;
\end{array}
$$

- generate $y \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ so that $P(y=i)=p_{i} ; p=\left[p_{1} \cdots p_{n}\right]$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{pp}=\operatorname{cumsum}(\mathrm{p}) ; \\
\mathrm{y}=\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{rand}\left(1,1,,^{\prime} \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)>\mathrm{pp}\right)+1 ;
\end{gathered}
$$

- number of the row $i$ in the table for combination $u_{t}, y_{t-1} \in\{1,2\}$

$$
\mathrm{i}=2^{*}(\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})-1)+\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-1)
$$

- generate output $y_{t}$ from the model $f\left(y_{t} \mid u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{i}=2^{*}(\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{t})-1)+\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t}-1) ; \\
\mathrm{pp}=\operatorname{cumsum}(\mathrm{th}(\mathrm{i},:)) \\
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{t})=\operatorname{sum}\left(\operatorname{rand}\left(1,1,,^{\prime} \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)>\mathrm{pp}\right)+1 ;
\end{gathered}
$$

## 3 Bayes rule

Notation: $y_{t}, d_{t}=\left\{y_{t}, u_{t}\right\}, d(t)=\left\{d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{t}\right\}$; where $d_{0}$ is prior, the rest are measurements.
pdf - probability density function (used for both discrete and continuous random variables).

## Bayesian estimation

- classical statistics - parameters are unknown constants

Bayesian statistics - parameters are random variables (their description is a distribution)

- distributions

> model description $f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)$
> parameter description $f(\Theta \mid d(t-1)), f(\Theta \mid d(t))$

- evolution of parameter pdf

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(0)) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{d_{1}=\left\{u_{1}, y_{1}\right\}} f(\Theta \mid d(1)) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{d_{2}=\left\{u_{2}, y_{2}\right\}} \cdots \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{d_{t}=\left\{u_{t}, y_{t}\right\}} f(\Theta \mid d(t))
$$

- The evolution is governed by the Bayes rule

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(\tau)) \propto f\left(y_{\tau} \mid \psi_{\tau}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid d(\tau-1))
$$

starting from prior pdf $f(\Theta \mid d(0))$.

## Comments

1. Derivation of Bayes rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
f(A, B \mid C) & =f(A \mid B, C) f(B \mid C) \\
& =f(B \mid A, C) f(A \mid C)
\end{aligned} \\
& \rightarrow \quad f(A \mid B, C)=\frac{f(B \mid A, C) f(A \mid C)}{f(B \mid C)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A \rightarrow \Theta, \quad B \rightarrow d_{t}, \quad C=d(t-1)
$$

and $\{B, C\}=\left\{d_{t}, d(t-1)\right\}=d(t)$.
2. Natural conditions of control: The person that estimates also controls. For both actions he uses only information from $d(t-1)$.
$\rightarrow$
$f\left(\Theta \mid u_{t}, d(t-1)\right)=f(\Theta \mid d(t-1))$ and conversely
$f\left(u_{t} \mid d(t-1), \Theta\right)=f\left(u_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right)$
It applies in estimation with controlled model

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right) f\left(u_{t} \mid d(t-1), \circledast\right) f(\Theta \mid d(t-1))
$$

which means that $f\left(u_{t} \mid \cdots\right)$ goes to constant.
3. Self reproducing form of Bayes rule
B.r. is recursive for functions. To be able to manage functions it is necessary express the pdfs through statistics - e.g. normal distribution is given just by two numbers - expectation and variance, for which the statistics are sum and count. Recursivity requires so that the form of prior pdf (after multiplication by the model) is reproduced in the posterior pdf. E.g. normal pdf $\rightarrow$ normal pdf, with only statistics recomputed.

Example (not recursive)

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid a\right)=\frac{a}{1+a}\left(\frac{1}{y_{t}^{2}}+\exp \left\{-a y_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

or

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid a\right)=\frac{1}{2+\pi a}\left(\sin \left(y_{t}\right)+a\right)
$$

when computing product of models in measured $y_{t}$ the number of different terms grows.
Example (recursive)

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid a\right)=a \exp \left\{-a y_{t}\right\}
$$

Posterior

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(a \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right) & \propto a^{3} \exp \left\{-a\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)\right\}= \\
& a^{\kappa_{3}} \exp \left\{-a S_{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\kappa$ and $S$ are statistics, evolving as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{t} & =\kappa_{t-1}+1 \\
S_{t} & =S_{t-1}+y_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

with initial stats $\kappa_{0}$ and $S_{0}$ with the meaning:

- $\kappa_{0}$ is a virtual number of data samples, from which the prior statistics is constructed.
- $S_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{\kappa_{0}} y_{i}$ from which we have $\bar{y}=\frac{S_{0}}{\kappa_{0}}$ i.e. we say that average output is $S_{0} / \kappa_{0}$.
- Batch estimation

From Bayes rule it follows

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto L_{t}(\Theta) f(\Theta)
$$

where $L_{t}(\Theta)=\prod_{\tau=1}^{t} f\left(y_{\tau} \mid \psi_{\tau}, \Theta\right)$ is likelihood and $f(\Theta) \equiv f(\Theta \mid d(0))$ is the very prior pdf.

- Results of estimation
(i) posterior pdf $f(\Theta \mid d(t))$ which brings full information and sometimes can be used as it is - e.g. in prediction

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right)=\int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t}, \Theta \mid d(t-1)\right) d \Theta=\int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid d(t-1)) d \Theta
$$

(ii) point estimates computed using posterior pdf

$$
\hat{\Theta}_{t}=E[\Theta \mid d(t)]=\int_{\Theta^{*}} \Theta f(\Theta \mid d(t)) d \Theta
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{y}_{t}=E\left[y_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right]=\int_{y^{*}} y_{t} f\left(y_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right) d y_{t}= \\
\int_{y^{*}} y_{t}\left[\int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid d(t-1)) d \Theta\right] d y_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Point estimate with quadratic criterion
E.g. for $\Theta$ and $d$ - data

$$
J=E\left[(\hat{\Theta}-\Theta)^{2} \mid d(t)\right] \rightarrow \min
$$

We derive

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\hat{\Theta}} E\left[(\hat{\Theta}-\Theta)^{2} \mid d\right]=\min _{\hat{\Theta}} E\left[\hat{\Theta}^{2}-2 \hat{\Theta} \Theta+\Theta^{2} \mid d\right]= \\
=\min _{\hat{\Theta}}\left\{\hat{\Theta^{2}}-2 \hat{\Theta} E[\Theta \mid d]+E\left[\Theta^{2} \mid d\right]\right\}= \\
=\min _{\hat{\Theta}}\{\hat{\Theta}^{2}-2 \hat{\Theta} E[\Theta \mid d]+E[\Theta \mid d]^{2} \underbrace{-E[\Theta \mid d]^{2}+E\left[\Theta^{2} \mid d\right]}_{D[\Theta]}\}= \\
=\min _{\hat{\Theta}}\left\{\hat{\Theta}^{2}-2 \hat{\Theta} E[\Theta \mid d]+E[\Theta \mid d]^{2}\right\}+D[\Theta]= \\
=\min _{\hat{\Theta}}\{(\hat{\Theta}-E[\Theta \mid d])\}+D[\Theta]
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow \hat{\Theta}=E[\Theta \mid d]$.

## 4 Estimation of specific models

### 4.1 Normal static regression model

In this paragraph we are going to tackle estimation of of a static regression model whose pdf is generated by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\theta+e_{t} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ is a constant and $e_{t}$ is normal with zero expectation and variance $r$. Generally the model has two parameters $\theta$ and $r$. As estimation of the noise variance is data demanding and in some tasks it can lead to instability of the process of estimation (e.g. in mixture estimation), sometimes $r$ is chosen fixed and the only parameter to estimate is $\theta$. With $r$ known, the estimation is very easy and the likelihood (as well as the posterior pdf) stay normal.

## Direct estimation

For the model equation (4.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[y_{t} \mid \theta\right]=E\left[\theta+e_{t}\right]=E[\theta]=\theta \\
& D\left[y_{t} \mid \theta\right]=D\left[\theta+e_{t}\right]=D\left[e_{t}\right]=r
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\theta$ is a constant and expectation of a constant is the constant itself and variance of a constant is zero.
Now, from the classical statistics we know that expectation is estimated by sample average and variance by sample variance. So, it holds

$$
\hat{\theta}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} y_{t}=\bar{y}, \text { and } \hat{r}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left(y_{t}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}=s_{y}^{2}
$$

## Maximum likelihood estimation

The model pdf with the equation (4.1) is

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(y_{t} \mid \theta, r\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(y_{t}-\theta\right)^{2}\right\} \propto \\
\propto r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(y_{t}^{2}-2 y_{t} \theta+\theta^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where we omitted the pdf constant and applied the second power.
Now, the likelihood is a product of models for $y_{1}, y_{2}$, etc. It is easy to write

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{N}=\prod_{t=1}^{N} f\left(y_{t} \mid \theta, r\right) \propto\left(r^{-0.5}\right)^{N} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left(y_{t}^{2}-2 y_{t} \theta+\theta^{2}\right)\right\}= \\
=r^{-0.5 N} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(\sum_{t=1}^{N} y_{t}^{2}-2 \theta \sum_{t=1}^{N} y_{t}+N \theta^{2}\right)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

where we used the fact, that $\prod_{t} \exp \left\{A_{t}\right\}=\exp \left\{\sum_{t} A_{t}\right\}$.
So, we can introduce the statistics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{t} & =\kappa_{t-1}+1 \text { data counter } \\
S_{t} & =S_{t-1}+y_{t} \text { sum of } y \\
R_{t} & =R_{t-1}+y_{t}^{2} \text { sum of squares of } y
\end{aligned}
$$

and with them to write the likelihood as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N}=r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \theta S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \theta^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{r}$ of the parameters $\theta$ and $r$ can be found as arguments maximizing the likelihood $L_{N}$.

First, we differentiate with respect to $\theta$ :

$$
\frac{d L}{d \theta} \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \theta S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \theta^{2}\right)\right\}\left[-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(-2 S_{N}+2 \theta \kappa_{N}\right)\right]=0
$$

from which

$$
S_{N}=\theta \kappa_{N} \rightarrow \hat{\theta}=\frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}=\frac{\sum_{t-1}^{N} y_{t}}{N}=\bar{y}
$$

Now, we substitute $\theta=\bar{y}$ and differentiate with respect to $r$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d L}{d r} \propto \frac{d}{d r} r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\}= \\
=\frac{d}{d r}\left(r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}}\right) \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\}+ \\
+r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\} \times \frac{d}{d r}\left[-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right]= \\
=-0.5 \kappa_{N} r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}-1} \times \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\}+ \\
+r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\} \times \frac{1}{2 r^{2}}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

From it

$$
\kappa_{N} r^{-1}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(R_{N}-2 \bar{y} S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \bar{y}^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\hat{r}=\frac{R_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}-2 \bar{y} \frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}+\bar{y}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} y_{t}^{2}}{\kappa_{N}}-\left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} y_{t}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)^{2}=\overline{y^{2}}-\bar{y}^{2}=s_{y}^{2}
$$

where we used the formula $D[A]=E\left[A^{2}\right]=(E[A])^{2}$ known from the classical statistics.
Thus we have obtained the same results as in the paragraph Direct estimation.
Another derivation

The derivation demonstrated is rather long and cumbersome. It can be improved in the following way. We take the quadratic form $\left(R_{N}-2 \theta S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \theta^{2}\right)$ in the exponent of the likelihood (4.2) and complete it to the square

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(R_{N}-2 \theta S_{N}+\kappa_{N} \theta^{2}\right)=\kappa_{N}\left(\theta^{2}-2 \theta \frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}+\frac{R_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)= \\
=\kappa_{N}\left(\theta^{2}-2 \theta \frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}+\left(\frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)^{2}+\frac{R_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)= \\
=\kappa_{N}\left[\left(\theta-\frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{R_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}-\left(\frac{S_{N}}{\kappa_{N}}\right)^{2}\right)\right]= \\
=\kappa_{N}[(\theta-\bar{y})^{2}+\underbrace{\overline{y^{2}}-\overline{y^{2}}}_{s_{y}^{2}}]
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the likelihood (4.2) is

$$
L_{N}=r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} \kappa_{N}\left[(\theta-\bar{y})^{2}+s_{y}^{2}\right]\right\}
$$

To maximize it according to $\theta$ we look for minimum of the quadratic form which is evidently achieved for $\hat{\theta}=\bar{y}$ and we get the partially maximized likelihood

$$
L_{N}=r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} \kappa_{N} s_{y}^{2}\right\}
$$

Now we differentiate according to $r$ (in the same way as we already did)

$$
\frac{d L}{d r}=-0.5 \kappa_{N} r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}-1} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} \kappa_{N} s_{y}^{2}\right\}+r^{-0.5 \kappa_{N}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r} \kappa_{N} s_{y}^{2}\right\} \frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \kappa_{N} s_{y}^{2}
$$

from which we obtain the result

$$
\hat{r}=s_{y}^{2}
$$

Both the results correspond those previously derived.

## The resulting lesson

To estimate the static regression model (even in the multivariate form for vector $y_{t}$ ) the regression coefficients can be estimated as the averages of the variables entering the regression and the covariance matrix of noise as their sample covariance matrix.

Example
Let us have the model

$$
y_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{1 ; t} \\
y_{2 ; t}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
e_{1 ; t} \\
e_{2 ; t}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with the dataset

| $y_{1}$ | 2.3 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 4.2 | 8.1 | 1.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{2}$ | 12.5 | 18.3 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 16.5 | 14.7 |

Estimate its parameters $\theta=\left[\begin{array}{c}\theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2}\end{array}\right]$ and $r=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{2}^{2}\end{array}\right]$.
We have

$$
\hat{\theta}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\bar{y}_{1} \\
\bar{y}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
4,1 \\
15.8
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
\hat{r}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{var}\left(y_{1}\right) & \operatorname{cov}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{cov}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) & \operatorname{var}\left(y_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5.06, & 1.55 \\
1.55 & 3.42
\end{array}\right]
$$

And that is all :-)

### 4.2 Normal regression model

Model

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)^{2}\right\}
$$

For 1st order $y_{t}=b u_{t}+a y_{t-1}+e_{t}$ it is $\psi_{t}=\left[u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right]^{\prime}$. The square in the exponent can be written

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(y_{t}-b u_{t}-a y_{t-1}\right)\left(y_{t}-b u_{t}-a y_{t-1}\right)= \\
=(-1)[-1, b, a]\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{t} \\
u_{t} \\
y_{t-1}
\end{array}\right](-1)\left[y_{t}, u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
b \\
a
\end{array}\right]= \\
=\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{t} \\
\psi_{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[y_{t}, \psi_{t}^{\prime}\right]}_{D_{t}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{t}$ is data matrix.
Model (in modification)

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right) \propto r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] D_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

## Prior pdf

In the same form as model

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(\Theta \mid d(0)) \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa_{0}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] V_{0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\} \\
\text { Bayes } \\
f(\Theta \mid d(1)) \propto r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] D_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\} r^{-0.5 \kappa_{0}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] V_{0}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}= \\
=r^{-0.5 \kappa_{1}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] V_{1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Posterior pdf

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa_{t}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1, \theta^{\prime}\right] V_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

## Recursion for statistics

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{t} & =\kappa_{t-1}+1 \\
V_{t} & =V_{t-1}+D_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\kappa_{0}$ and $V_{0}$ as prior statistics.

## Results

(a) Posterior - GiW with statistics $\kappa_{t}$ and $V_{t}$.
(b) Point estimates of parameters

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
V_{y} & V_{y \psi} \\
V_{y \psi} & V_{\psi}
\end{array}\right] \cdots\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\bullet & -- \\
\mid & \square
\end{array}\right] \\
\hat{\theta}_{t}=V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi} \text { regression coefficients } \\
\hat{r}_{t}=\frac{V_{y}-V_{y \psi}^{\prime} V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi}}{\kappa_{t}} \text { noise variance }
\end{gathered}
$$

Point estimate of output

$$
\hat{y}_{t}=\psi_{t} \hat{\theta}_{t-1} \quad\left(\theta \rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{t-1}, e_{t} \rightarrow 0\right)
$$

## Batch estimation

$$
y_{t}=b_{0} u_{t}+\cdots a_{n} y_{t-n}+b_{n} u_{t-n}+k+e_{t}
$$

for $t=1,2, \cdots, N$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{1}=b_{0} u_{1}+\cdots a_{n} y_{1-n}+b_{n} u_{1-n}+k+e_{1} \\
y_{2}=b_{0} u_{2}+\cdots a_{n} y_{2-n}+b_{n} u_{2-n}+k+e_{2} \\
\cdots \\
y_{N}=b_{0} u_{N}+\cdots a_{n} y_{N-n}+b_{n} u_{N-n}+k+e_{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$ matrix form

$$
Y=X \theta+E
$$

where (for $n=1$ ) $Y$ and $X$ are

$$
Y=\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{1} \\
y_{2} \\
\cdots \\
y_{N}
\end{array}\right], \quad X=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{1} & y_{0} & u_{0} & 1 \\
u_{2} & y_{1} & u_{1} & 1 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
u_{N} & y_{N-1} & u_{N-1} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Optimization - least squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
J=\sum e_{i}^{2}=E^{\prime} E=(Y-X \theta)^{\prime}(Y-X \theta)=Y^{\prime} Y-2 \theta^{\prime} X^{\prime} Y+\theta^{\prime} X^{\prime} X \theta \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} J=-2 X^{\prime} Y+2 X^{\prime} X \theta \\
X^{\prime} X \theta=X^{\prime} Y \quad \rightarrow \quad \hat{\theta}_{t}=\left(X^{\prime} X\right)^{-1} X^{\prime} Y
\end{gathered}
$$

### 4.3 Categorical model

## Product form of the model

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\Theta_{y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}}=\prod_{y \mid \psi} \Theta_{y \mid \psi}^{\delta\left(y\left|\psi ; y_{t}\right| \psi_{t}\right)}
$$

i.e. product over all possible configurations of $y \mid \psi$; but only $y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}$ is chosen.

Prior / posterior pdf

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto \prod_{y \mid \psi} \Theta_{y \mid \psi}^{\nu_{y \mid \psi} ; t}
$$

where $\nu_{y \mid \psi ; t}$ for all configurations of $y \mid \psi$ is statistics; $\nu_{y \mid \psi ; 0}$ is the prior one.

## Statistics update

From Bayes rule

$$
\nu_{y \mid \psi ; t}=\nu_{y \mid \psi ; t-1}+\delta\left(y\left|\psi ; y_{t}\right| \psi_{t}\right)
$$

for all configurations of $y \mid \psi\left(\right.$ or $\nu_{y_{t} \mid \psi_{t} ; t}=\nu_{y_{t} \mid \psi_{t} ; t-1}+1$ for actual data)

## Point estimate

$$
\hat{\theta}_{y \mid \psi ; t}=\frac{\nu_{y \mid \psi ; t}}{\sum_{i} \nu_{i \mid \psi ; t}}
$$

which is normalization of the statistic matrix in rows.

## Example

Model (of a coin)

$$
f(y \mid p)=p_{y}, \quad y=1,2 ; p=\left[p_{1}, p_{2}\right]^{\prime}
$$

Product form

$$
f(y \mid p)=p_{1}^{\delta(y, 1)} p_{2}^{\delta(y, 2)}
$$

Posterior

$$
f(p \mid d(t)) \propto p_{1}^{\nu_{1 ; t}} p_{2}^{\nu_{2 ; t}}
$$

Statistics

$$
\nu_{t}=\left[\nu_{1 ; t}, \nu_{2 ; t}\right]
$$

Update

- for $y=1$

$$
\nu_{1 ; t}=\nu_{1 ; t-1}+1
$$

- for $y=2$

$$
\nu_{2 ; t}=\nu_{2 ; t-1}+1
$$

| For the data | $t$ | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{t}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |

and zero initial statistics

| $t$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nu_{1}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| $\nu_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $p_{1}$ | x | 1 | 1 | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| $p_{2}$ | x | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ |

With initial statistics 10

| $t$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\nu_{1}$ | 10 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| $\nu_{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| $p_{1}$ | x | 0.524 | 0.546 | 0.522 |
| $p_{2}$ | x | 0.476 | 0.454 | 0.478 |

The ratio $\frac{\nu_{1 ; 0}}{\nu_{1 ; 0}+\nu_{2 ; 0}}$ expresses the value of $p_{1}$
The magnitude of $\nu$ expresses our belief in .our guess.

Output estimate

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
f\left(y_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right)=f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta=\hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right) \\
y_{t} & 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\
\hline f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t} \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right) & P\left(y_{t}=1\right) & P\left(y_{t}=2\right) & P\left(y_{t}=3\right) & & P\left(y_{t}=n\right)
\end{array}
$$

Point estimate is the value of $y_{t}$ with the biggest probability.

## 5 Output prediction

Estimation of the future output $y_{t+k}$ with the knowledge of data up to $t-1$ for $k=0,1, \cdots$. For $k=0$ we speak about output estimation or zero-step prediction.

### 5.1 Output estimation

It can be also called zero-step prediction. Here we estimate $y_{t}$ at time $t$ which, however, was not yet measured. E.g. for the 1st order regression model without control variable $f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(y_{t} \mid y(t-1)\right)=\int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t}, \Theta \mid y(t-1)\right) d \Theta= \\
(i)= & \int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) d \Theta \text { posterior of } \Theta \\
(i i) \doteq & f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right) \text { point estimate of } \Theta
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(i i)$ is achieved by replacing $f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) \rightarrow \delta\left(\Theta, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) d \Theta \doteq \\
\doteq \int_{\Theta^{*}} f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right) \delta\left(\Theta, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right) d \Theta=f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{\Theta}_{t-1}=E[\Theta \mid y(t-1)]=\int_{\Theta^{*}} \Theta f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) d \Theta$ is point estimate of $\Theta$ based on the data $y(t-1)$.

## Remarks

1. In $f\left(y_{t} \mid y(t-1)\right)$ the parameter $\Theta$ is missing. We need to supply it.
2. This type of prediction is useful for validation of estimated model. After prediction $\hat{y}_{t}$ we measure the value of the true output $y_{t}$ and compute prediction error $\hat{e}_{t}=y_{t}-\hat{y}_{t}, \forall t$. Good model should have the sum of prediction errors small.

### 5.2 One step prediction

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & \left(y_{t+1} \mid y(t-1)\right)=\int_{\Theta^{*}} \int_{y_{t}^{*}} f\left(y_{t+1}, y_{t}, \Theta \mid y(t-1)\right) d y_{t} d \Theta= \\
(i) & =\int_{\Theta^{*}} \int_{y_{t}^{*}} f\left(y_{t+1} \mid y(t), \Theta\right) f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) d y_{t} d \Theta \\
(i i) & \doteq f\left(y_{t+1} \mid \hat{y}_{t}, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where for (ii) we lay $f(\Theta \mid y(t-1)) \rightarrow \delta\left(\Theta, \hat{\Theta}_{t-1}\right)$ and $f\left(y_{t} \mid y(t-1)\right) \rightarrow \delta\left(y_{t}, \hat{y}_{t}\right)$ with $\hat{\Theta}_{t-1}$ and $\hat{y}_{t}$ being point estimates.

## Remark

- Here, both $\Theta$ and $y_{t}$ are missing. We must supply both.
- Comparing (i) and (ii) we can see the basic principle of Bayesian estimation. Basically, value of the missing unknown variable ( $\Theta$ and $y_{t}$ ) is substituted (into the pdfs) and it is weighted by its probability (prior pdf + integration). In the second variant (ii) first point estimates are computed and then substituted for the unknown variables.


### 5.3 Multi-steps prediction

## Regression model with known parameters and point estimation

For a 1 st order regression model $y_{t}=a y_{t-1}+b u_{t}+e_{t}$ with known parameters and point prediction we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t} & =a y_{t-1}+b u_{t}+e_{t} \\
\hat{y}_{t} & =a y_{t-1}+b u_{t} \\
\hat{y}_{t+1} & =a \hat{y}_{t}+b u_{t+1}=a\left(a y_{t-1}+b u_{t}\right)+b u_{t+1}= \\
& =a^{2} y_{t-1}+a b u_{t}+b u_{t+1} \\
\hat{y}_{t+2} & =a \hat{y}_{t+1}+b u_{t+2}= \\
& =a^{3} y_{t-1}+a^{2} b u_{t}+a b u_{t+1}+b u_{t+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

etc.

The point prediction can be achieved by a simple repetitive substitution of the model. For simulation, directly last estimates can be used.

Full prediction with regression model under condition of normality
Prediction with normal model with known parameters preserves normality. If $e_{t}$ is normal, all predictions are normal, too.

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t} & =a y_{t-1}+b u_{t}+e_{t} \\
y_{t-1} & =a y_{t}+b u_{t+1}+e_{t+1}= \\
& =a\left(a y_{t-1}+b u_{t}+e_{t}\right)+b u_{t+1}+e_{t+1}= \\
& =a^{2} y_{t-1}+a b u_{t}+b u_{t+1}+a e_{t}+e_{t+1} \\
y_{t+2} & =a y_{t+1}+b u_{t+2}+e_{t+2}= \\
& =a^{3} y_{t-1}+a^{2} b u_{t}+a b u_{t+1}+b u_{t+2}+a^{2} e_{t}+a e_{t+1}+e_{t+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right]=a^{3} y_{t-1}+a^{2} b u_{t}+a b u_{t+1}+b u_{t+2} \\
& D\left[y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right]=D\left[a^{2} e_{t}+a e_{t+1}+e_{t+2}\right]=\left(a^{4}+a^{2}+1\right) r
\end{aligned}
$$

Predictive pdf

$$
f\left(y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right)=N_{y_{t+2}}\left(E\left[y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right], D\left[y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right]\right)
$$

## Remark

- Normal distribution is preserved during computation (prove $\mathrm{it}^{1}$ ).
- Normal distribution is determined by its expectation and variance.


### 5.4 Prediction with discrete model

For a model $f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right)$ we have

## Zero step prediction

It is given directly by the model

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}, \Theta\right)=\Theta_{y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}}
$$

## Multi-steps prediction

For the model in a form of square table (matrix), the prediction is

$$
f\left(y_{t+k} \mid y(t-1)\right)=\left(\Theta^{k+1}\right)_{y_{t+k} \mid y_{t-1}}
$$

## Example

Two steps prediction

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right)=\sum_{y_{t+1}} \sum_{y_{t}} f\left(y_{t+2} \mid y_{t-1}\right) f\left(y_{t+1} \mid y_{t}\right) f\left(y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}\right)= \\
=\sum_{y_{t+1}} \Theta_{y_{t+2} \mid y_{t+1}} \sum_{y_{t}} \Theta_{y_{t+1} \mid y_{t}} \Theta_{y_{t} \mid y_{t-1}}=\left(\Theta^{3}\right)_{y_{t+2} \mid y_{t-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

For square

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Theta=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.4, & 0.6 \\
0.8, & 0.2
\end{array}\right] \\
f\left(y_{t+2} \mid y(t-1)\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.4, & 0.6 \\
0.8, & 0.2
\end{array}\right]^{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0.544, & 0.456 \\
0.608, & 0.392
\end{array}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow$
for $y_{t-1}=1$ we have $f\left(y_{t+2} \mid 1\right)=[0.544,0.456]$
for $y_{t-1}=2$ we have $f\left(y_{t+2} \mid 2\right)=[0.608,0.392]$
Point prediction either can be constructed either as MAP prediction or it can be computed from the estimated model as if in simulation.

[^0]
## 6 State-space model, state estimation

### 6.1 Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{t} \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) \quad \text { model of the state } \\
& f\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}, u_{t}\right) \quad \text { model of the output }
\end{aligned}
$$

is generated by the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{t} & =M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}+w_{t} \\
y_{t} & =A x_{t}+B u_{t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M, N, A, B$ are matrices, $w_{t}$ and $v_{t}$ white noises with covariance matrices $r_{w}$ and $r_{v}$.

### 6.2 Estimation

## State description

$$
f\left(x_{t-1} \mid d(t-1)\right) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{\text {prediction }} f\left(x_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right) \underbrace{\rightarrow}_{\text {filtration }} f\left(x_{t} \mid d(t)\right)
$$

## Evolution

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right) & =\int_{x_{t-1}^{*}} f\left(x_{t} \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) f\left(x_{t-1} \mid d(t-1)\right) \text { prediction } \\
f(\underbrace{x_{t}}_{\Theta} \mid d(t)) & \propto \underbrace{f\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}, u_{t}\right)}_{\text {model }} f(\underbrace{x_{t}}_{\Theta} \mid d(t-1)) \text { Bayes }
\end{aligned}
$$

! In the above derivation Natural Conditions of Control are used !

## Kalman filter

For normal model and normal prior state distribution the normality is preserved. Functional recursion becomes algebraic one for expectations and covariance matrices.

Notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{t} \mid x_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) & =N_{x_{t}}\left(M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}, r_{w}\right) \\
f\left(y_{t} \mid x_{t}, u_{t}\right) & =N_{y_{t}}\left(A x_{t}+B u_{t}, r_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(x_{t-1} \mid d(t-1)\right) & =N_{x_{t-1}}\left(x_{t-1 \mid t-1}, R_{t-1 \mid t-1}\right) \\
f\left(x_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right) & =N_{x_{t}}\left(x_{t \mid t}, R_{t \mid t}\right) \\
f\left(x_{t} \mid d(t)\right) & =N_{x_{t}}\left(x_{t \mid t}, R_{t \mid t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution into the evolution equations gives Kalman filter (KF)

| Kalman filter | state prediction |
| :--- | ---: |
| $x_{t \mid t-1}=M x_{t-1 \mid t-1}+N u_{t}$ |  |
| $R_{t \mid t-1}=r_{x}+M R_{t-1 \mid t-1} M^{\prime}$ |  |
| $y_{p}=A x_{t \mid t-1}+B u_{t}$ | output prediction |
| $R_{p}=r_{y}+A R_{t \mid t-1} A^{\prime}$ |  |
| $R_{t \mid t}=R_{t \mid t-1}-R_{t \mid t-1} A^{\prime} R_{p}^{-1} A R_{t \mid t-1}$ |  |
| $K=R_{t \mid t} A^{\prime} r_{y}^{-1}$ | Kalman gain |
| $x_{t \mid t}=x_{t \mid t-1}+K\left(y_{t}-y_{p}\right)$ | state correction |

The filter starts with prior $x_{0 \mid 0}$ and $R_{0 \mid 0}$, uses data $y_{t}, u_{t}, t=1,2, \cdots, N$ and currently computes $x_{t \mid t}$ and $R_{t \mid t}$. The result is either point state estimate $x_{t \mid t}$ or the full distribution of the state $f\left(x_{t} \mid u_{t}, d(t)\right)=N_{x_{t}}\left(x_{t \mid t}, R_{t}\right)$.
Program together with subroutine Kalman.sci is here

```
clc, clear, close
[u,t,n]=file(); // find working directory
chdir(dirname(n(1))); // set working directory
getd('.')
nd=100;
M=[.5 .4; . 3 . 6];
N=[1; 1];
A=[l.4 1.2];
RW=10*eye (2,2);
Rv=1;
// Simulace
xt=zeros(2,nd);
xt(:,1)=50*ones(2,1);
ut=rand(1,nd,'n');
yt=zeros(1,nd);
for t=1:nd
    xt(:,t+1)=M*xt(:,t)+N*ut(t)+Rw*rand (2,1,'n');
    yt(t)=A*xt(:,t)+Rv;
end
// Filtration
Rx=1e3*eye(2,2);
x=zeros(2,1);
for t=1:nd
```

```
    [x,Rx,yp]=Kalman(x,yt(t),ut(t),M,N,A,0,Rw,Rv,Rx);
    xe(:,t+1)=x;
end
// Results
s=1:nd;
scf(1);
subplot(211)
plot(s,xt(1,s)',s,xe(1,s)','.')
subplot(212)
plot(s,xt(2,s)',s,xe(2,s)','.')
```

and the subroutine

```
function [xt, Rx,yp]=Kalman(xt,yt,ut,M,N,A,B,Rw, Rv, Rx)
    // [xt,xf,Rx,yp]=Kalman(xt,yt,ut,M,N,A,B,Rw,Rv,Rx)
    // Kalman filter for state estimtion with the model
    // xt = M*xt + N*ut + w
    // yp = A*xt + B*ut + e
    // xt state
    // Rx state estimate covariance matrix
    // yp output prediction
    // yt output
    // ut input
    // M,N state model parameters
    // A,B output model parameters
    // Rw state model covariance
    // Rv output model covariance
    // prediction
    xt=M*xt+N*ut; // rime update of the state
    Rx=Rw+M*Rx*M'; // time updt. of state covariance
    // filtration
    yp=A*xt+B*ut; // output prediction
    Ry=Rv+A*Rx*A'; // noise covariance update
    Rx=Rx-Rx*A'*inv(Ry)*A*Rx; // state est. coariance update
    ey=yt-yp;
    KG=Rx*A'*inv(Rv);
    // prediction error
    Rx*A**inv(Rv); // Kalman gain
    xt=xt+KG*ey; // data update of the state
endfunction
```


## 7 Nonlinear state estimation

### 7.1 Nonlinear model

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{t} & =g\left(x_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)+w_{t} \\
y_{t} & =h\left(x_{t}, u_{t}\right)+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example

For

$$
x_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2}
\end{array}\right]_{t}, u_{t}, y_{t}
$$

the model is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1 ; t} & =\exp \left\{-x_{1 ; t-1}-x_{2 ; t-1}\right\}+u_{t}+w_{t} \\
x_{2 ; t} & =x_{1 ; t-1}-0.3 u_{t}+w_{2 ; t} \\
y_{t} & =x_{2 ; t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linearization

Is done using first two terms of Taylor expansion of nonlinear functions at the point of last point estimate. For the state equation it is $\hat{x}_{t-1}$ and for the output equation it is $\hat{x}_{t}$.

Generally, i.e. for a general value $x$ the expansion reads

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(x, u_{t}\right) \doteq g\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)\left(x-\hat{x}_{t-1}\right) \\
h\left(x, u_{t}\right) \doteq h\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right)+h^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right)\left(x-\hat{x}_{t}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Remarks

1. $x_{t}$ and $x_{t-1}$ are random variables. $x$ is their general value, $\hat{x}_{t}$ and $\hat{x}_{t-1}$ are special values: $\hat{x}_{t}$ is the point estimate of $x_{t}$ and $\hat{x}_{t-1}$ is point estimate of $x_{t-1}$.
2. Linearization can be applied only to nonlinear parts of the model. The linear parts can stay as they are.

The derivatives $g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ are
$g^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial x_{1}} & & \cdots & \frac{\partial g_{n}}{\partial x_{n}}\end{array}\right]_{\mid x=\hat{x}_{t-1}} \quad h^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & \cdots & \\ \frac{\partial h_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & & \cdots & \frac{\partial h_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}\end{array}\right]_{\mid x=\hat{x}_{t}}$
After substitution the linearization into the model, we have
and for $x=\hat{x}_{t-1}$ in the case of the state equation and $x=\hat{x}_{t}$ for output equation we obtain the linearized model

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{t} & =\bar{M} x_{t-1}+F+w_{t} \\
y_{t} & =\bar{A} x_{t}+G+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{M}=g^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right), \quad F=g\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)-g^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) \hat{x}_{t-1}, \\
\bar{A}=h^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right), \quad G=h\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right)-h^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t}, u_{t}\right) \hat{x}_{t}
\end{gathered}
$$

Example (continuation) - $\cdots$ only first equation is nonlinear

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{1}\left(x, u_{t}\right)=\exp \left\{-x_{1}-x_{2}\right\}+u_{t} \\
g_{1}^{\prime}\left(x, u_{t}\right)=\left[\frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}\right]=\left[-\exp \left\{-x_{1}-x_{2}\right\},-\exp \left\{-x_{1}-x_{2}\right\}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Fully linearized model is

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1 ; t} & =g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) x_{t-1}+g_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)-g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) \hat{x}_{t-1}+w_{t} \\
x_{2 ; t} & =[1,0] x_{t-1}-0.3 u_{t}+w_{2 ; t} \\
y_{t} & =[0,1] x_{t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{M}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) \\
{[1,0]}
\end{array}\right], \quad F=\left[\begin{array}{c}
g_{1}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right)-g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\hat{x}_{t-1}, u_{t}\right) \hat{x}_{t-1} \\
-0.3 u_{t}
\end{array}\right], \\
N=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad \bar{A}=[0,1], \quad G=0, \quad B=0
\end{gathered}
$$

With this, we can use subroutine Kalman

$$
[\mathrm{xt}, \mathrm{Rx}, \mathrm{yp}]=\mathrm{Kalman}(\mathrm{xt}, \mathrm{yt}, \mathrm{ut}, \bar{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~F}, \bar{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{Rw}, \mathrm{Rv}, \mathrm{Rx})
$$

### 7.2 Model with unknown parameters

The unknown parameters of the model are added to the state a and estimated. However, the model becomes nonlinear - model matrices contain state entries and they are multiplied by state. So, the technique of linearization must be used, again.

## Example

Model

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{t} & =\exp \left\{-a x_{t-1}\right\}+b u_{t}+w_{t} \\
y_{t} & =x_{t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are unknown.
We define new state

$$
X_{t}=\left[x_{t}^{\prime}, a, b\right]^{\prime}, \quad X_{t-1}=\left[x_{t-1}^{\prime}, a, b\right]^{\prime}
$$

and obtain new model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\exp \left\{-X_{2 ; t-1} X_{1 ; t-1}\right\}+X_{3 ; t-1} u_{t} \\
X_{2 ; t-1} \\
X_{3 ; t-1}
\end{array}\right]+\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c}
w_{t} \\
\epsilon_{2 ; t} \\
\epsilon_{3 ; t}
\end{array}\right]}_{W_{t}} \\
& y_{t}=[1,0,0] X_{t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Only the first equation is nonlinear, however, we will treat the whole model as nonlinear (it is well possible)

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\exp \left\{-X_{2 ; t-1} X_{1 ; t-1}\right\}+X_{3 ; t-1} u_{t} \\
X_{2 ; t-1} \\
X_{3 ; t-1}
\end{array}\right]_{X_{t-1}=\hat{X}_{t-1}} \\
g^{\prime}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-X_{2 ; t-1} \exp \left\{-X_{2 ; t-1} X_{1 ; t-1}\right\}, & -X_{1 ; t-1} \exp \left\{-X_{2 ; t-1} X_{1 ; t-1}\right\}, & u_{t} \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]_{X_{t-1}=\hat{X}_{t-1}}
\end{gathered}
$$

model

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{t} & =\underbrace{g^{\prime}}_{\bar{M}} X_{t-1}+\underbrace{g-g^{\prime} \hat{X}_{t-1}}_{F}+W_{t} \\
y_{t} & =\underbrace{[1,0,0]}_{\bar{A}} X_{t}+v_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $N=[0,0,0]^{\prime}, B=0, G=0$.
$[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{Rx}, \mathrm{yp}]=\mathrm{Kalman}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{u}, \bar{M}, \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{~F}, \bar{A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{Rw}, \mathrm{Rv}, \mathrm{Rx})$

## 8 Control with regression model

### 8.1 Derivation in pdf

## Criterion

Optimal control needs criterion. We will use summation one

$$
J=\sum_{t=1}^{N} J_{t}
$$

where $J_{t}$ is a penalization for time $t$. Mostly it is $J_{t}=y_{t}^{2}+\omega u_{t}^{2}$.
We want to set $u_{t}, t=1,2, \cdots, N$ that minimizes $J$. But, $J$ is a random variable, due to the output $y_{t}$. As random variable can take many different values it is not possible to speak about its minimization. So, we must minimize its estimate (which is expectation). So the minimized criterion is

$$
E[J \mid d(0)]=E\left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} J_{t} \mid d(0)\right]
$$

where in condition of the expectation is our preliminary knowledge - prior data.

## Remark

For $N=1$ we obtain one-step control. Here, we optimize control only for the next output. This control is dangerous, because the controller does not take into account future evolution of the system and to act best in one step it can generate too beg output. This can excite the system so much that it is not possible even to stabilize it in the future and the control fails.

## Sequential minimization

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{u_{1: N}} E\left[\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+\sum_{t=1}^{N} J_{t} \mid d(0)\right]= \\
=\min _{u_{1:(N-1)}} E[\min _{u_{N}} \underbrace{E\left[\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+J_{N} \mid u_{N}, d(N-1)\right]}_{\varphi_{N}^{*}}+\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} J_{t} \mid d(0)]= \\
=\min _{u_{1:(N-1)}} E\left[\min _{u_{N}} \varphi_{N}+\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} J_{t} \mid d(0)\right]=\min _{u_{1: N}} E\left[\varphi_{N}^{*}+\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} J_{t} \mid d(0)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

which reproduces the initial form, only with $N \rightarrow N-1$ and where (due to the reproduction in general form)
Bellman equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{t}=E\left[\varphi_{t+1}^{*}+J_{t} \mid u_{t}, d(t-1)\right] \quad \text { expectation } \\
\varphi_{t}^{*}=\min _{u_{t}} \varphi_{t} \quad \text { minimization }
\end{gathered}
$$

for $t=N, N-1, N-2, \cdots, 1$. Each minimization gives the formula for optimal control it is $\quad u_{t}=\arg \min \varphi_{t}(d(t-1))$. However, ti cannot be used immediately, because the data $d(t-1)$ is not known, yet. Only at time $t=1$ we need data $d(0)$ and the control can start to be generated.

## Remark

The derivation of the control law in the operator of expectation is brief but not explicit. We will show its integral form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{u_{1: N}} E\left[\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+\sum_{t=1}^{N} J_{t} \mid d(0)\right]= \\
=\min _{u_{1: N}} \int \cdots \int\left(\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+\sum_{t=1}^{N} J_{t}\right) f(y(N), u(N) \mid d(0)) d y(N) d u(N)= \\
=\min _{u_{1: N}} \int \cdots \iiint\left(\left[\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+J_{N}\right]+\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} J_{t}\right) f\left(y_{N} \mid u_{N}, d(N-1)\right) f\left(u_{N} \mid d(N-1)\right) \times \\
=\min _{u_{1:(N-1)}}\{\int \cdots \int \min _{u_{N}} \int \underbrace{\int\left(\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+J_{t}\right) f\left(y_{N} \mid u_{N}, d(N-1)\right) d y_{N}}_{\varphi_{N}\left(u_{N}, d(N-1)\right)} f\left(u_{N} \mid d(N-1)\right) d u_{N}+ \\
\left.\sum_{t=1}^{N-1} J_{t} f(y(N-1), u(N-1) \mid d(0)) d y(N-1) d u(N-1)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Minimum over $u_{N}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{u_{N}} \int \underbrace{\int\left(\varphi_{N+1}^{*}+J_{t}\right) f\left(y_{N} \mid u_{N}, d(N-1)\right) d y_{N}}_{\varphi_{N}\left(u_{N}, d(N-1)\right)} f\left(u_{N} \mid d(N-1)\right) d u_{N}= \\
=\min _{u_{N}} \int \varphi_{N}\left(u_{N}, d(N-1)\right) f\left(u_{N} \mid d(N-1)\right) d u_{N}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\rightarrow u_{N}^{*}=\arg \min _{u_{N}} \varphi_{N}$ - formula for optimal control law.

### 8.2 Derivation for regression model

Regression model can be converted to state-space form (see lecture 2 - Regression model in state-space form).

$$
x_{t}=M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}+w_{t}
$$

where $x_{t}=\left[y_{t}, u_{t}, y_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, \cdots y_{t-n+1}, u_{t-n+1}\right]^{\prime}$.
The penalty can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}^{2}+\omega u_{t}^{2}=x_{t}^{\prime} \Omega x_{t} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a diagonal matrix

$$
\Omega=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & & & & \\
& \omega & & & \\
& & 0 & & \\
& & & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Now the model and criterion is used in general Bellman equations, where we guess the form of $\varphi_{t+1}^{*}=x_{t}^{\prime} R_{t+1} x_{t}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left[x_{t}^{\prime} R_{t+1} x_{t}+x_{t}^{\prime} \Omega x_{t} \mid u_{t}, d(t-1)\right]=E\left[x_{t}^{\prime} U x_{t}\right]= \\
=\left(M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}\right)^{\prime} U\left(M x_{t-1}+N u_{t}\right)+\rho= \\
=x_{t-1}^{\prime} \underbrace{M^{\prime} U M}_{C} x_{t-1}+2 u_{t}^{\prime} \underbrace{N^{\prime} U M}_{B} x_{t-1}+u_{t}^{\prime} \underbrace{N^{\prime} U N}_{A} u_{t}+\rho= \\
=u_{t}^{\prime} A u_{t}+2 u_{t}^{\prime} A \underbrace{A^{-1} B}_{S_{t}} x_{t-1}+x_{t-1}^{\prime} S_{t}^{\prime} A S_{t} x_{t-1}+ \\
\quad+\underbrace{x_{t-1}^{\prime} C x_{t-1}-x_{t-1}^{\prime} S_{t}^{\prime} A S_{t} x_{t-1}}_{x_{t-1} R_{t} x_{t-1}}+\rho= \\
=\left(u_{t}+S_{t} x_{t-1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(u_{t}+S_{t} x_{t-1}\right)+x_{t-1}^{\prime} R_{t} x_{t-1}+\rho
\end{gathered}
$$

Optimal $u_{t}=S_{t} x_{t-1}$.

## Recursion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{N+1}=0 \\
& \text { for } t=N, N-1, \cdots, 1 \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
U=R_{t+1}+\Omega \\
A=N^{\prime} U N \\
B=N^{\prime} U M \\
C=M^{\prime} U M \\
S_{t}=A^{-1} B \\
R_{t}=C-S_{t}^{\prime} Q S_{t} \\
u_{t}=S_{t} x_{t-1}
\end{array} \\
& \text { end }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark

The penalty function (8.1) can be very easily extended to the following form

$$
\left(y_{t}-s_{t}\right)^{2}+\omega u_{t}^{2}+\lambda\left(u_{t}-u_{t-1}\right)^{2}
$$

where the first term leads to the following the output $y_{t}$ the prescribed set-point $s_{t}$ and the last term introduces penalization of increments of the control variable. Penalizing the control increments calms control behavior and at the same time it does not result to steady-state deviation of the output and the set-point as it is when penalizing the whole control variable.

The solution how to introduce the above requirements for the control lies in construction of the penalization matrix as follows

$$
\Omega=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & & & & & & -1 \\
& \omega+\lambda & & -\lambda & & & \\
& & 0 & & & & \\
& -\lambda & & \lambda & & & \\
& & & & \cdots & & \\
-1 & & & & & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

which is evident if we take into account that the criterion is

$$
x_{t}^{\prime} \Omega x_{t}
$$

and $x_{t}=\left[y_{t}, u_{t}, y_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, \cdots, 1\right]$.

## 9 Control with categorical model

We will show the synthesis for the model of controlled coin with memory

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { model } & f\left(y_{t} \mid u_{t}, y_{t-1}\right) \\
\text { penalty } & J_{y_{t} \mid u_{t}, y_{t-1}}
\end{array}
$$

and for three steps control, i.e. for $t=1,2,3$. The corresponding model and penalization are

| $\operatorname{model}(\Theta)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{3}, y_{2}$ | $y_{3}=1$ | $y_{3}=2$ |
| 1,1 | 0.7 | 0.3 |
| 1,2 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| 2,1 | 0.9 | 0.1 |
| 2,2 | 0.4 | 0.6 |


| penalty $(J)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $u_{3}, y_{2}$ | $y_{3}=1$ | $y_{3}=2$ |
| 1,1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1,2 | 1 | 0 |
| 2,1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2,2 | 2 | 1 |

### 9.1 Optimization

Step for $t=3: \quad \varphi_{4}^{*}=0$
Expectation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{3}=E\left[J \mid u_{3}, d(2)\right]=\sum_{y_{3}=1}^{2} J_{y_{3} \mid u_{3}, y_{2}} \Theta_{y_{3} \mid u_{2}, y_{2}}= \\
=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right] \cdot *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7 \\
0.2 \\
0.9 \\
0.4
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right] \cdot *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.8 \\
0.1 \\
0.6
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.2 \\
1.1 \\
1.4
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{cc}
\cdots & u_{3}=1, y_{2}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{3}=1, y_{2}=2 \\
\cdots & u_{3}=2, y_{2}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{3}=2, y_{2}=2
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

Minimization

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { for }: & y_{2}=1 \rightarrow \min \{0.3,1.1\}=0.3 \text { for } u_{3}=1 \\
\text { for : } & y_{2}=2 \rightarrow \min \{0.2,1.4\}=0.2 \text { for } u_{3}=1
\end{array}
$$

$\rightarrow$

$$
u_{3}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } y_{2}=1 \\ 1 & \text { for } y_{2}=2\end{cases}
$$

and reminder after minimization

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \\
y_{2}=1 & y_{2}=2 \\
\hline 0.3 & 0.2
\end{array} \forall u_{2}, y_{1} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc}
u_{2}, y_{1} & y_{2}=1 & y_{2}=2 \\
\hline & 1,1 & 0.3 \\
\hline 1,2 & 0.2 & 0.3 \\
& & 0.2 \\
& & 2,1 \\
2,2 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\
& & 0.3 \\
& & 0.2
\end{array}
$$

Step for $t=2$ :
Expectation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{2}=E\left[J+\varphi_{3}^{*} \mid u_{2}, d(1)\right]=\sum_{y_{2}=1}^{2}\left(J_{y_{2} \mid u_{2}, y_{1}}+\varphi_{3 ; y_{2} \mid u_{2}, y_{1}}^{*}\right) \Theta_{y_{2} \mid u_{2}, y_{1}}= \\
& =\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.3 \\
0.3 \\
0.3
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot \varphi_{2}^{*}=0 *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7 \\
0.2 \\
0.9 \\
0.4
\end{array}\right]+\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.2 \\
0.2 \\
0.2 \\
0.2
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.8 \\
0.1 \\
0.6
\end{array}\right]= \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8 \\
0.7 \\
1.6 \\
1.9
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
\cdots & u_{2}=1, y_{1}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{2}=1, y_{1}=2 \\
\cdots & u_{2}=2, y_{1}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{2}=2, y_{1}=2
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Minimization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for }: y_{1}=1 \rightarrow \min \{0.8,1.6\}=0.8 \text { for } u_{2}=1 \\
& \text { for : } y_{1}=2 \rightarrow \min \{0.7,1.9\}=0.7 \text { for } u_{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$\rightarrow$

$$
u_{2}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } y_{1}=1 \\ 1 & \text { for } y_{1}=2\end{cases}
$$

and reminder after minimization

$$
\begin{array}{cc} 
& \\
y_{1}=1 & y_{1}=2 \\
\cline { 1 - 3 } & 0.7
\end{array} u_{1}, y_{0} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c|cc}
u_{1}, y_{0} & y_{1}=1 & y_{1}=2 \\
\hline & 1,1 & 0.8 \\
\hline & 0.7 \\
& & 1,2 \\
& 0.8 & 0.7 \\
2,1 & 0.8 & 0.7 \\
& 2,2 & 0.8 \\
& & 0.7
\end{array}
$$

$\underline{\text { Step for }} t=1$ :

## Expectation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}=E\left[J+\varphi_{2}^{*} \mid u_{1}, d(0)\right]=\sum_{y_{1}=1}^{2}\left(J_{y_{1} \mid u_{1}, y_{0}}+\varphi_{2 ; y_{1} \mid u_{1}, y_{0}}^{*}\right) \Theta_{y_{1} \mid u_{1}, y_{0}}= \\
& =\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.8 \\
0.8 \\
0.8 \\
0.8
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7 \\
0.2 \\
0.9 \\
0.4
\end{array}\right]+\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.7 \\
0.7 \\
0.7 \\
0.7
\end{array}\right]\right) \cdot *\left[\begin{array}{l}
0.3 \\
0.8 \\
0.1 \\
0.6
\end{array}\right]= \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
1.8 \\
1.7 \\
2.6 \\
2.9
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}
\cdots & u_{1}=1, y_{0}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{1}=1, y_{0}=2 \\
\cdots & u_{1}=2, y_{0}=1 \\
\cdots & u_{1}=2, y_{0}=2
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Minimization

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { for }: & y_{0}=1 \rightarrow \min \{1.8,2.6\}=1.8 \text { for } u_{1}=1 \\
\text { for }: & y_{1}=2 \rightarrow \min \{1.7,2.9\}=1.7 \text { for } u_{1}=1
\end{array}
$$

$\rightarrow$

$$
u_{1}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } y_{0}=1 \\ 1 & \text { for } y_{0}=2\end{cases}
$$

and reminder after minimization

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
y_{0}=1 & y_{0}=2 \\
\hline 1.8 & 1.7
\end{array}
$$

### 9.2 Application

For $t=0$ let us have $y_{0}=2$.
For $y_{0}=2$ we have $u_{1}=1 ; \rightarrow[1,2] \Theta_{1,2}=[0.2,0.8]$ let us obtain $y_{1}=2$
For $y_{1}=2$ we have $u_{2}=1 ; \rightarrow[1,2] \Theta_{1,2}=[0.2,0.8]$ let us obtain $y_{2}=1$
For $y_{2}=1$ we have $u_{3}=1 ; \rightarrow[1,1] \Theta_{1,1}=[0.7,0.3]$ let us obtain $y_{3}=2$
The final value of criterion is $J_{2 \mid 12}+J_{1 \mid 12}+J_{2 \mid 11}=0+1+1=2$.

Part II

## Clustering and Classification

## 10 Mixtures

One of the prominent approaches in data mining is based on data modeling. The model describes density of data points in the data space and gives a possibility to detect the areas with high density to which a newly measured data record (point in the space) belongs.
First we will inspect models connected with this area and derive simple but general clustering and classification tool corresponding to estimation of mixture models.

Then we will show the same procedure as before but with a simplifying assumption of independence of variables in the regression vector. This approach is known as Naive Bayes.
In the end, we will extend the previous attitude for models with unknown parameters. Then the parameters must be estimated from data.

### 10.1 The basics of clustering and classification

Our approach to clustering and classification here is based on modeling. Generally, we consider a data space $X$ of finite vectors $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]$. These vectors represent points in the multivariate data space and we suppose, these points are somehow grouped with respect to their density (or spatial probability of occurrence).
These groups of data vectors (represented as areas of dense points in data space) are called clusters. Our task is

1. to detect these groups in the data space (clustering),
2. to decide, which class a newly measured vector belongs to (classification).

The groups ale labeled - each of them has its own flag (value of pointer). In our case, the flags will be integers $c=1,2, \cdots, n_{c}$. In classification, we measure a vector $x$ and want to classify it. As the true class to which the vector belongs is unknown, the pointer $c$ will be described by a discrete random variable with its probability function $f(c \mid x)$ where $x$ is the vector to be classified.

Individual groups have their models $f(x \mid c)=f_{c}(x)$ which is a probabilistic description of vectors $x$ belonging to the class $c$.

## Models

As we have seen, in connection with the tasks of clustering and classification, we have two models (for now, with known parameters).

## Model of data

$$
f(x \mid c)
$$

## Model of classification

$$
f(c \mid x)
$$

These two models are connected via Bayes rule

$$
f(c \mid x)=f(x \mid c) \frac{f(c)}{f(x)} \propto f(x \mid c) f(c)
$$

where $\propto$ denotes proportionality.
We will demonstrate these models in the following example.

## Example

Let the joint model $f(c, x)=f(x \mid c) f(c)$ be described trough the conditional pdf

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(x \mid c=1)=f_{1}(x)=N_{x}\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right)  \tag{10.1}\\
& f(x \mid c=2)=f_{2}(x)=N_{x}\left(\mu_{2}, 1\right) \tag{10.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the marginal

$$
\begin{array}{c|cc}
c & 1 & 2 \\
\hline f(c) & 0.4 & 0.6
\end{array}
$$

Notice that each model is of a different type. The data are continuous, so their model type is also continuous (regression model), while the pointer is discrete and its description is a discrete probability function. As the data model depends on the pointer, we have to define two regression models - for each pointer value one model. They differ in parameters. The first component has expectation $\mu_{1}$ while the second $\mu_{2}$. The model can be demonstrated in the picture


In the left part of the figure, the model of the first component is shown. It is active (generates data) in the $40 \%$. In the right one there is the model of the second component, active at $60 \%$ of cases.
Now, the joint model $f(x, c)$, is given by a product of the conditional data model and the marginal pointer model; it is

$$
f(x, c)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0.4 N_{x}\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right) & \text { for } c=1, \\
0.6 N_{x}\left(\mu_{2}, 1\right) & \text { for } c=2,
\end{array} \text { for } x \in R\right.
$$

This is how a mixed model (i.e. model with both continuous and discrete variables) can be expressed.

Having the joint distribution of the model, we can express arbitrary conditional or marginal model. Conditional one of the data and marginal one for the pointer we already have - we have defined them above. Now, we are going to determine the remaining two models.
Marginal data model
Is obtained by summing the joint model over all values of the pointer, i.e. for $c=1,2$. We get

$$
f(x)=0.4 N_{x}\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right)+0.6 N_{x}\left(\mu_{2}, 1\right)
$$

which is a weighted sum of two Gaussian distributions.

## Classification model

Can be computed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(c \mid x)=\frac{f(c, x)}{f(x)} \text { or } f(x \mid c) \frac{f(c)}{f(x)} \propto \underbrace{f(x \mid c)}_{\text {component model component prior }} \underbrace{f(c)}  \tag{10.3}\\
& f(c \mid x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{0.4 N_{x}\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right)}{0.4 N\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right)+0.6 N\left(\mu_{1}, 2\right)} & \text { for } c=1 \\
\frac{0.6 N_{x}\left(\mu_{2}, 1\right)}{0.4 N\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right)+0.6 N\left(\mu_{1}, 2\right)} & \text { for } c=2
\end{array} \propto\right. \\
& \propto \begin{cases}0.4 N_{x}\left(\mu_{1}, 1\right) & \text { for } c=1 \\
0.6 N_{x}\left(\mu_{2}, 1\right) & \text { for } c=2\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

which is obvious (joint pdf is proportional to joint one), however, this is very important result claiming that:

Result: The probability that $x$ is to be classified into the class $c$ is proportional to the value of the model of this component with the vector $x$ inserted.

The classification can run like this:

1. Measure new data vector $x$
2. Compute values of all component models with inserted vector $x$
3. Multiply them by probabilities of individual classes
4. Assign the vector to the component corresponding to the greatest computed value.

## Remark

This holds for known models of components and pointer. If the parameters of these models are unknown, they have to be estimated and their point estimates can be used instead of the true parameters. It is an approximation but very good one. We will tackle this problem in more details later.

In our example we have.
Let $\mu_{1}=1, \mu_{2}=5$ and the measured vector $x=2.45$. The the values of the component models are

$$
f_{1}=0.4 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(2.45-1)^{2}\right\}=0.056
$$

$$
f_{2}=0.6 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}(2.45-5)^{2}\right\}=0.009
$$

The first value is greater, the point $x=2.45$ belongs to the first component. The situation in this simple example is clearly visible from the following picture


The point $x$ lies closer to the first model, so the value of the model in $x$ is greater.

## Remark

The influence of the pointer model is not so important and is neglected in the picture. The main effect is caused by the component models and their values will be called proximity as they express the closeness of the measured point to the centers of individual components.

### 10.2 Naive Bayes classification

This method is nothing but the previous case plus assumption of conditional independence of data variables, i.e. entries of the data vector $x$. With this assumption we have

$$
f(x \mid c)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i ; t} \mid c\right)
$$

## Remarks

1. This formula can be explained by the assumed fact that the data in one cluster differ only by noise and thus are independent.
2. The independence brings considerable savings - instead of multidimensional model we can use only several one-dimensional ones. For normal components, instead of large covariance matrix we need only several (namely $n$ ) scalar variances.

The pointer model (10.3) has now the form

$$
f(c \mid x) \propto f(x \mid c) f(c)=f(c) \prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i ; t} \mid c\right)
$$

where $f\left(x_{i ; t} \mid c\right)$ are scalar models of individual variables within the class $c$.

### 10.3 Classification with learning

## Classification 1 - known components

In this case(not very realistic from application viewpoint) we assume that the component models $f(x \mid c=i), i=1,2, \cdots \nu$, and switching probabilities $f(c), i=1,2, \cdots \nu$ are known. We have measured one data record $x=\xi$ and we are to estimate the most probable component to which the record belongs (i.e. the value of $c$ ). The decision is described by the pf

$$
f(c \mid \xi) \propto f(\xi \mid c) f(c)
$$

which is (e.g. for $\nu=3$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(c=1 \mid \xi)=k f(\xi \mid c=1) f(c=1) \\
& f(c=2 \mid \xi)=k f(\xi \mid c=2) f(c=2) \\
& f(c=3 \mid \xi)=k f(\xi \mid c=3) f(c=3)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of the decision is given by selecting the value of $c$ with the greatest probability.
Remark
Similarly we can continue with the next measured records.

## Classification 2 - known pointer for learning

In this case we assume, that the components as well as the pointer probabilities are unknown, However, we have a learning data sample $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots x_{N}$ together with the corresponding pointer values $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots c_{N}$ for learning. After learning we get $x=\xi$ and we are to classify it.

## Solution

Divide the learning sample $x$ into clusters $C_{c}, c=1,2, \cdots, n_{c}$ according to the learning sample of pointer values. Then, for all $x_{t} \in C_{c}$ update the statistics $S_{c_{t}}$ and finally construct components.
Now, follow the previous case.
Remark
If the components are normal, the estimation consists in computing expectations $\bar{x}_{c}$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma_{c}$ within each set $C_{c}$.
For categorical components the component parameters are normalized histograms of $x$ within all $C_{c}$.

## Classification 3-EM-like algorithm

Here we assume that both component models and pointer values are unknown. It means that we do not know to which component the data records $x_{t}, t=1,2, \cdots, T$ belong, i.e. we do not know which component is to be updated. Updating of all components with the same data has no sense. All components would converge to the same model from their initial setting. So, we have to initialize the components, for this setting we determine the pointer values (i.e. to each record from dataset $x$ we determine to which component it belongs) and then estimate components for the created pointer. And this is repeated: for given components determine pointer values and
for this pointer update parameters of the components. The end of iterations can be indicated by the fact, that the pointer stays the same.

Algorithm

1. Take a dataset $X=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right]$ for estimation
2. Set initial components and switching probabilities.
3. Determine pointer values $f(c \mid X) \propto \alpha_{c} f\left(x_{t} \mid \theta_{c}\right)$

For $t=1: N$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(c=1 \mid X) & \propto \alpha_{1} f\left(x_{t} \mid \theta_{1}\right) \\
f(c=2 \mid X) & \propto \alpha_{2} f\left(x_{t} \mid \theta_{2}\right) \\
& \cdots \\
f(c=\nu \mid X) & \propto \alpha_{\nu} f\left(x_{t} \mid \theta_{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Recompute component parameters and switching probabilities

For $i=1: \nu$ do
(a) select subset of dataset whose records correspond to pointer value $i$
(b) use this subset for estimation of parameters of the $i$-th component $f\left(x \mid \theta_{i}\right)$
for normal components - average and variance
(c) switching probabilities are relative frequencies of the pointer values

5 . If the pointer changes, go to 3

## Classification 4-mixture estimation

The final and and in applications most required salutation is when the mixture parameters are unknown and we continuously get data records and we have to on-line estimate the mixture and at the same time to classify the data. Then, we have to proceed as follows:

For a data record $x_{t}$ and the current estimate (or prior estimate) of the model parameters determine the weights of the measured $x_{t}$ with respect to all components using the probability function $f\left(c_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$ (its constitution is shown later).
The data record $x_{t}$ is added to all statistics with its weight

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{j ; t}=S_{j ; t-1}+w_{j} x_{t} \\
\kappa_{j ; t}=\kappa_{j ; t-1}+w_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

With new statistics the point estimates of the parameters are constructed.

## Algorithm

Initial setting: Set initial parameters of component $(\theta, r$,$) switching probabilities (\alpha)$ and corresponding statistics.
for $t=1: n d$

1. measure data record $x_{t}$
2. determine weights $w$ for $j=1: n c$
(a) $q_{j}=f\left(x_{t} \mid \theta_{j}\right)$ - proximity
(b) $w_{j}=\aleph\left(q_{j} \alpha_{j}\right)-$ where $\aleph$ means normalization to sum equal to 1 end
3. recompute statistics and parameters
for $j=1: n c$
(a) $S_{j ; t}=S_{j ; t}+w_{j} x_{t}$
(b) $\kappa_{j ; t}=\kappa_{j ; t}+w_{j}$
(c) $\gamma_{j ; t}=\gamma_{j ; t-1}=w_{j}$
(d) $\theta_{j}=\frac{S_{j ; t}}{\kappa_{j ; t}}$
(e) $\alpha_{j}=\aleph(\gamma)$
end
end

## Remarks

1. The derivation can be found in the textbook.
2. For component parameters, the point estimates have been used.
3. There are two main points used
(a) pointer estimation for new data record - the basis is $f(c \mid x)$
(b) update of statistics with the weight

- standard update: $S=S+x$
- for two identical $x$ and $x$ it is: $S=S+2 x$ (weight)
- similarly for $x$ valid with probability $w$ it is: $S=S * w x$ (again weight) and similarly for other statistics.


## Derivation of mixture estimation

As in the beginning of this section, we looked for the classification pdf $f(c \mid x)$. To be able to construct it, now, we must introduce the model parameters $\theta$ and $\alpha$ for the models $f\left(x \mid c=j, \theta_{j}\right), j=$ $1,2, \cdots, n_{c}$ and $f(c \mid \alpha)$
We start with pdf of all unknown objects $f(c, \theta, \alpha \mid x)$ and perform its factorization

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(c, \theta, \alpha \mid x) & \propto \underbrace{f(x, c, \theta, \alpha)}_{\text {joint pdf }}=f(x \mid c, \theta, \alpha) f(c \mid \theta, \alpha) f(\theta, \alpha)= \\
& =\underbrace{f\left(x \mid c, \theta_{c}\right)}_{\text {component model pointer model }} \underbrace{f\left(c \mid \alpha_{c}\right)}_{\text {prior }} \underbrace{f(\theta, \alpha)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first two pdfs are parameterized models of data and pointer, the last one is a prior description of parameters which is updated to posterior with the information carried by the data vector $x$. From this relation for the joint pdf we can obtain all needed

1. The classification (determination of the weights $w$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(c \mid x)=\int_{\theta^{*}} \int_{\alpha^{*}} f(x, c, \theta, \alpha) d \alpha d \theta= \\
& =\int_{\theta^{*}} \int_{\alpha^{*}} f\left(x \mid c, \theta_{c}\right) f\left(c \mid \alpha_{c}\right) f(\theta, \alpha) d \alpha d \theta \doteq  \tag{10.4}\\
& = \begin{cases}f\left(x \mid c=1, \hat{\theta}_{1}\right) \hat{\alpha}_{1} & \text { for } c=1 \\
f\left(x \mid c=2, \hat{\theta}_{1}\right) \hat{\alpha}_{2} & \text { for } c=2 \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
f\left(x \mid c=n_{c}, \hat{\theta}_{n_{c}}\right) \hat{\alpha}_{n_{c}} & \text { for } c=n_{c}\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\theta}_{1}, \hat{\theta}_{2}, \cdots \hat{\theta}_{n_{c}}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_{1}, \hat{\alpha}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{\alpha}_{n_{c}}$ are current (or prior) point estimates of the parameters.
2. The estimation (

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(\theta, \alpha \mid x)=\sum_{c} f(x, c, \theta, \alpha)= \\
& =\sum_{c}\left[f\left(x \mid c, \theta_{c}\right) f\left(c \mid \alpha_{c}\right)\right] f(\theta, \alpha) \tag{10.5}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the summation form of the model in recursive estimation a serious trouble. As the Bayes rule is a product of pdfs and the model is a sum, its repetitive calling produces the posterior pdf in a form which gets more and more complex and its evaluation and storing in memory is unfeasible. So, we must proceed as follows:

Suppose, we know the true component to which the measured data record belongs. Then we can define a pointer

$$
\delta\left(c_{t}, \hat{c}_{t}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } c_{t}=\hat{c}_{t} \\ 0 & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{t}$ is random variable and $\hat{c}_{t}$ its realization (the label of the true component). Thus, at each time instant $t$ the pointer denotes the component that is really true (active - the data record $x_{t}$ belongs to it). However, in reality, we do not know the active component. So, we must estimate the pointer as an expectation

$$
E\left[\delta\left(c_{t}, \hat{c}_{t}\right) \mid x(t)\right]=\sum_{c \in c_{t}} \delta\left(c, \hat{c}_{t}\right) f(c \mid x(t))=P\left(c=\hat{c}_{t} \mid x(t)\right) \text { for } c=1,2, \cdots, n_{c}
$$

which is a vector of probabilities that the $c$-th component is active. We will call that vector actual components weights and denote it by $w_{t}=\left[w_{1 ; t}, w_{2 ; t}, \cdots, w_{n_{c} ; t}\right]$ where

$$
w_{i ; t}=P\left(c_{t}=i \mid x(t)\right), i=1,2, \cdots, n_{c}
$$

## Remark

Notice that $w_{t}$ depends on the actually measured data record $x_{t}$. It is the difference between it and the pointer model $f\left(c_{t} \mid \alpha\right)$. The pointer model expresses only historical knowledge about the activities of the component while $w_{t}$ takes into account also $x_{t}$ which is most important for the actual classification.

The effect of the approximation is following: Formerly, we needed to know the true active component. Now, we only need to know the probabilities that each individual component is active. The knowledge of the true active component is not required. It is like in the following picture


Pointer estimate


The pointer, now, is nothing but the classification pdf $f\left(c_{t} \mid x(t)\right)$. It has been determined formerly in (10.4).

## Example

We will continue with the same example like in the preceding sections. We will:

1. Simulate a mixture with two static Gaussian components

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}\left(x_{t} \mid \mu_{1}\right), & \mu_{1}=1 \\
f_{1}\left(x_{t} \mid \mu_{2}\right), & \mu_{2}=5
\end{array}
$$

with known variances equal to 1 and pointer model

$$
f\left(c_{t} \mid \alpha\right), \quad \alpha=[0.4,0.6] .
$$

2. Estimate the mixture with initial parameters

$$
\hat{\mu}_{1 ; 0}=2, \quad \hat{\mu}_{2 ; 0}=3, \quad \hat{\alpha}=[0.5,0.5] .
$$

The program is here
The program is described inside. Only some notes are necessary:

1. Simulation: first the pointer value is generated and according it a corresponding component is used for data generation.
2. The second part is estimation.
(a) First, the initial parameters $m$ and al are specified. $K$ is the counter. Its initial value expresses the strength of prior information (the fictive number of data from which the information has been extracted).
(b) Then, in the time loop, weights are computed. The computation is performed in logarithms, then it is roughly normalized by subtracting maximum, then exponent is taken and multiplication with al is performed and finally normalized to sum equal to one.
(c) In the end of the loop, statistics are updated by weighted data and point estimates computed.

The results of estimation (classification) are in the following pictures


Here the histogram of data sample is plotted. It can be seen, that the components are slightly overlapping. The classification is not trivial.


Here, the simulated (blue) pointer values and the predicted (magenta) ones are plotted. The prediction is finally classified to the class which is closer to it. It can be seen that at the beginning, when the learning just started there are some errors. Gradually it improves and in the end all classifications are correct.


And here is supplementary information - evolution of expectation estimated during estimation. The initial estimates are gradually improved till they reach practically correct values (1 and 5).

## Remark

The approach presented for the last time is practically equivalent to mixture estimation.

## 11 Regression

Here, we will demonstrate the logistic and Poisson regression. They are both very similar:

1. They use nonlinear models with unknown parameters.
2. Their estimation is performed off-line using numerical optimization. It has two phases: learning and testing.
3. They need to cope with non-negativity of estimated parameters.

### 11.1 Logistic regression

Model for variable $c_{t}$ with Bernoulli distribution

$$
f\left(c_{t} \mid p\right)=p^{c_{t}}(1-p)^{1-c_{t}}
$$

with $c_{t}=0,1$ is dichotomous model output $p \in(0,1)$ is the probabilistic parameter: $p=$ $P\left(c_{t}=1\right)$.

The expectation of $c_{t}$ is

$$
E\left[c_{t} \mid p\right]=p
$$

Now, we would like to extend this model so that its expectation will be modeled by regression in the form

$$
p \rightarrow x^{\prime} b=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+b_{m} x_{m}
$$

However, there are problems. $p \in(0,1)$, i.e. it is nonnegative and bounded from above.

1. The solution with respect to bounding is: instead of $p$ to model $\frac{p}{1-p}$ which is from the interval $(0, \infty)$
2. Nonnegativity of $\frac{p}{1-p}$ can be solved by taking logarithm $\ln \frac{p}{1-p}$. This variable is called logit

$$
\operatorname{logit}(p)=\ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)
$$

This logit will be modeled by regression

$$
\ln \left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)=x_{t} b
$$

The final model $f\left(c_{t} \mid b\right)$ can be derived from the above expression and it has the form

$$
f\left(c_{t} \mid b\right)=p= \begin{cases}\frac{\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}} & \text { for } c_{t}=1 \\ \frac{1}{1+\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}} & \text { for } c_{t}=0\end{cases}
$$

and using the fact that $c_{t} \in\{0,1\}$ we can write the model as

$$
f\left(c_{t} \mid b\right)=\frac{\exp \left\{c_{t} x_{t} b\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}}
$$

Note, that both the mentioned demands are fulfilled - $p \in(0,1)$, and nonnegative, indeed.
For estimation of the parameter $p$ we will construct the likelihood function

$$
L_{N}(p)=\prod_{t=1}^{N} \frac{\exp \left\{c_{t} x_{t} b\right\}}{1+\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}}
$$

where we used a trick for writing the model in a unified form. For $c_{t}=1$ the nominator in the model will be $\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}$ and for $c_{t}=0$ it will be 1 .

The log-likelihood is

$$
\ln L_{N}(p)=\sum_{t=1}^{N}\left[c_{t} x_{t} b-\ln \left(1+\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}\right)\right]
$$

As the first and second derivatives of this expression can be computed analytically, the Newton method for numerical maximization is very suitable. It is quick and has fast convergence.

## Program

```
// DM_LogisReg.sce
// Example: Logistic regression with two independen variables
// -------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0), warning('off')
getd _func
function LL=logLL(b,par)
    // log-likelihood of logistic regression
    x=par.x; // data x
    y=par.y; // data y
    Li=y.*(x*b)-log(1+exp(x*b)); // vector of log-models
    LL=-sum(Li); // log-likelihood
endfunction
function [f,g,ind]=fun(b,ind,par)
    // auxiliary function
    f=logLL(b,par); // log-likelihood
    g=numderivative(logLL,b); // gradient
endfunction
```

```
// SIMULATION =========================================================================
nd=200; // number of data
bS=[4 8 -1]'; // simulated parameter
sd=1; // regression noise z=x*b+sd*rand
x=[ones(nd,1) rand(nd,1,'n') 5-rand(nd,1,'n')];
z=x*bS+sd*rand(nd,1,'n');
p=exp(z)./(1+exp(z));
y=round(p);
// LOGISTIC REGRESSION --------------------------------------------
```



```
par.x=x; // data x
par.y=y; // data y
```

```
// estimation
fce=list(fun,par);
[LLopt, b, gopt, work, iters, evals, err]..
= optim (fce,b0,iprint=2,'ar',1e8,1e8); // optimization
b,err
z=par.x*b; // regression
p=exp(z)./(1+exp(z)); // p=P(y=1|x)
yp=round(p); // rounding <.5 ->0, >.5 -> 1
wrong=sum(y~=yp) // number of wrong classification
// RESULTS
set(scf(),'position',[800 10 500 300]);
plot(1:nd,y,'bx',1:nd,yp,'r.')
Ep=variance(y-yp)/variance(y) // relative prediction error
scf();
plot(jiggle(y),jiggle(yp),'.','markersize',3)
title 'y against yp - jiggled'
```


### 11.2 Poisson regression

Model with Poisson distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(c_{t} \mid \lambda\right)=\exp \{-\lambda\} \frac{\lambda^{c_{t}}}{c_{t}!} \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c_{t}=0,1,2, \cdots, \infty, \lambda>0$ it the expectation (average number of events per time unit). Again, the expectation should be expanded by regression. The condition of upper limit is nor demanded, but the non-negativity remains and is solved in the same way as for logistic regression - by expanding logarithm of $\lambda$ instead of $\lambda$ itself

$$
\ln (\lambda)=x_{t} b=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+b_{m} x_{m}
$$

Thus, for $\lambda$ we have

$$
\lambda=\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}
$$

The final model $f\left(c_{t} \mid \lambda\right)$ will be (11.1) with the above substitution - for log-likelihood we express directly its logarithm

$$
\ln \left\{f\left(c_{t} \mid b\right)\right\}=-\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}+c_{t} x_{t} b-\ln \left(c_{t}!\right)
$$

Log-likelihood is

$$
\ln L_{N}(b)=\sum_{t=1}^{N}\left[-\exp \left\{x_{t} b\right\}+c_{t} x_{t} b-\ln \left(c_{t}!\right)\right]
$$

and it is maximized numerically.
Program to the Poisson regression is here

```
// DM_LogisReg.sce
// Example: Logistic regression with two independen variables
// -----------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0), warning('off')
getd _func
function LL=logLL(b,par)
    // log-likelihood of logistic regression
    x=par.x; // data x
    y=par.y; // data y
    Li=y.*(x*b)-log(1+exp(x*b)); // vector of log-models
    LL=-sum(Li); // log-likelihood
endfunction
function [f,g,ind]=fun(b,ind,par)
    // auxiliary function
    f=logLL(b,par); // log-likelihood
    g=numderivative(logLL,b); // gradient
endfunction
l/ SIMULATION =======================================================================
// LOGISTIC REGRESSION ----------------------------------------
b0=[0 0 0]'; // initial estimates of parameters (including ones)
par.x=x; // data x
par.y=y; // data y
// estimation
fce=list(fun,par);
[LLopt, b, gopt, work, iters, evals, err]..
= optim (fce,b0,iprint=2,'ar',1e8,1e8); // optimization
b,err
z=par.x*b; // regression
p=exp(z)./(1+exp(z)); // p=P(y=1|x)
yp=round(p); // rounding <.5 ->0, >.5 -> 1
wrong=sum(y~=yp) // number of wrong classification
// RESULTS
set(scf(),'position',[800 10 500 300]);
plot(1:nd,y,'bx',1:nd,yp,'r.')
Ep=variance(y-yp)/variance(y) // relative prediction error
```

```
scf();
plot(jiggle(y),jiggle(yp),'.','markersize',3)
title 'y against yp - jiggled'
```


## 12 Clustering

The task of clustering consists in dividing the data space into several subspaces whose data are somehow similar. Mostly the similarity is given by the distance of the points. We demand that the points in a cluster are as close as possible and on the other hand the points between different clusters are as remote as possible. However, the sorting can be governed also by other rules as e.g. color or shape of "data points".

For us the clustering according to the distance will be decisive. The distance is mainly Euclidean but it can also be some other, like Manhattan or Minkowski ones.

### 12.1 K-means algorithm

Let us have a data sample $X=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right]$ where $x_{t}=\left[x_{1 ; t}, x_{2 ; t}, \cdots, x_{n ; t}\right]$ is a data record (point) and $N$ is total number of data records. The algorithm of clustering is as follows

0 . Determine the number of clusters ans set their initial centers.

1. For each data point measure the distance to all cluster centers and assign the point to the nearest center. The points form clusters.
2. Compute the centroid (average) of points in each cluster and set it as its new center.
3. Check, if the centers changed. If yes, go to 1 . If not, the algorithm ends.

## Program

```
// DM_kmeans.sce
// K-means
// ---------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
// SIMULATION
m=list();
m(1)=[[01}0,'
m(2)=[[5 2}],'
m(3)=[[3 8]';
n=[15 30 20]*10;
sd=1.5;
ny=length(m(1));
```

```
y=[] ;
for i=1:3
    for t=1:n(i)
        y=[y m(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n')]; // data generation
    end
end
// ALGORITM
nd=size(y,2); // number of data
nc=length(m); // number of clusters
yc=list(); cL=list();
// inicialization of centers
mi=min(y,'c');
ma=max(y,'c');
for j=1:nc
    C(j).c0=(mi+ma)/2+rand(ny,1,'n'); // initial centers of clusters
    C(j).c=C(j).c0; // first centers are initial ones
end
for it=1:1000
    for j=1:nc
        C(j).cd=[]; // initialization of clusters
    end
    // distances of data from nodes
    for i=1:nd
        for j=1:nc
            d(j)=distance(C(j).c,y(:,i)); // distances of point from centers
        end
        [xxx,k]=min(d); // minimal distance point from the k-th center
        C(k).cd=[C(k).cd y(:,i)];
    end
    df=0;
    for j=1:nc
        C(j).cs=C(j).c; // remember centers from last step
        C(j).c=mean(C(j).cd,2); // new centers
        df=df+sum(abs(C(j).c-C(j).cs)); // shift of centers
    end
    if df<.1
        break // end of iterations
    end
end
// RESULTS
k1=1:n(1);
k2=k1($)+1:k1($)+n(2);
k3=k2($)+1:k2($)+n(3);
set(scf(),'position',[800 200 600 400]);
```

```
// data
plot(y(1,k1),y(2,k1),'kd','markersize',12)
plot(y(1,k2),y(2,k2),'ks','markersize',12)
plot(y(1,k3),y(2,k3),'ko','markersize',12)
// clusters
plot(C(1).cd(1,:),C(1).cd(2,:),'r.','markersize',3)
plot(C(2).cd(1,:),C(2).cd(2,:),'b.','markersize',3)
plot(C(3).cd(1,:),C(3).cd(2,:),'g.','markersize',3)
title('Data and found clusters','fontsize',4)
disp(it,'number of iterations')
```


## Description of the program

## Definition of the distance

## Simulation

Three centers $m$, standard deviation of data in clusters $s d$ are set. Two dimensional data generated in loop. In the $i$-th cluster $n(i)$ data points are simulated.

## Algorithm

Structure variable $C$ is defined. It has items .c0-initial centers, $c$ - new centers,.$c s$ - centers from previous step,.$c d$ - points in a cluster. It runs according to the list above.

### 12.2 K-medoids algorithm

This algorithm is similar to k-means with the difference, that centers (medoids) are always data points. The algorithm is:
0. Randomly select $m$ data points - medoids. The rest of points are called non-medoids.

0 . To each medoid find the non-medoids that are closest to it. They form clusters.
0 . Determine overall distance of non-medoids from their medoids.

1. Randomly select one medoid and one non-medoid and swap them.
2. Re-construct clusters and determine overall distance.
3. If the distance is repeatedly not smaller, stop the algorithm othervise continue by 1.

## Program

```
// DM_cmedoids.sce
// c-medoids (simple - like genetic alg.)
// ----------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
```

```
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
function d=distXY(X,Y)
    // Distance of vectors X and Y
    nX=size(X,2);
    nY=size(Y,2);
    d=zeros(nX,nX);
    for i=1:nX
        for j=1:nY
            d(i,j)=distance(X(:,i),Y(:,j));
        end
    end
endfunction
function dc=updateCls(md,s,u,y)
    // update of all distances after update of medoids
    // dc distances points from individual medoids: matrix md X nd
    // md nuber of clusters
    // s indexes of medoids
    // u indexes of non-medoids
    // construction of new clusters
    dO=distXY(y(:,s),y(:,u)); // distances between medoids and non-medoids
    [xxx,ic]=min(d0,'r'); // ic(k) is label of cluster
    c=list(); // to which y(:,k) belongs
    for j=1:md
        c(j)=find(ic==j); // c(k) is vector of indxes of y
    end // which belong to cluster k
    // evaluation of new clusters
    for j=1:md
        dc(j)=sum(distXY(y(:, s(j)),y(:,c(j))));
    end // sum of distances data from medoids
endfunction // = optimality criterion
// ===========================================================================
// SIMULATION
m=list();
m(1)=[\begin{array}{ll}{1}&{1}\end{array}]'; // data centers
m(2) =[\begin{array}{ll}{5}&{2}\end{array}]';
m(3)=[\begin{array}{ll}{3}&{8}\end{array}];
sd=.5; // std of data
al=fnorm([1 3 2]); // prababilities of modes
ny=length(m(1)); // dimension of y
nc=length(al); // number of modes
```

```
nd=200; // length of data
md=3; // number of initial centers (points)
for t=1:nd
    i=sum(rand(1,1,'u')>cumsum(al))+1;
    y(:,t)=m(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n'); // data generation
end
// CLUSTERING - first step
s=samwr(1,md,1:nd); // first medoids
u=setdiff(1:nd,s); // first non-medoids
dc=updateCls(md,s,u,y); // distances within initial clusters
d0=sum(dc);
dd=d0;
dd0=d0;
ss=s';
// CLUSTERING - iterations
for ite=1:1000
    s0=s; // remember medoids from last step
    u1=samwr(1,1,u); // choice of one non-medoid
    s1=samwr(1,1,s); // choice of one medoid
    // swap one medoid and one non-medoid
    s=setdiff(s,s1);
    s=[s,u1]; // new medoids
    u=setdiff(1:nd,s); // remaining non-medoids
    dc=updateCls(md,s,u,y); // new distances in clusters
    d=sum(dc);
    if abs(d-d0)<.001 // test of end of iterations
        printf(' Počet kroků %d\n\n',ite)
        break
    end
    if d<d0 // test in the end of iteration (go on / go back)
        dO=d; // crit OK - remember its value
    else
        s=s0; // crit is not OK - go back to original medoids
    end
    // remember
    dd=[dd d];
    dd0=[dd0 d0];
    ss=[ss s'];
end
chk=[dd0;dd;ss];
```

```
// RESULTS
C=y(:,s);
scf();
plot(y(1,:),y(2,:),'.')
plot(C(1,:),C(2,:),'rx','markersize',12)
```


## Program description

Function definition

- updateCls recomputes centers and evaluates the overall distance of points from medoids within individual clusters.


## Simulation

Two dimensional data $y$ are generated. $n d$ is number of data, $m d$ is number of clusters.
Initialization
Select medoids, the rest of points are non-medoids. Compute the overall distance.

## Iterations

Chose one medoid and one non-medoid. Swap them. Compute the overall distance and compare with the previous one. Check for the end.

### 12.3 Fuzzy clustering

## C-means algorithm

In the c-means algorithm we minimize criterion

$$
J=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{i j}^{k}\left\|x_{i}-c_{j}\right\|^{2}, k \geq 1
$$

where $u_{i j}$ is a degree of membership of the point $x_{i}$ to cluster $c_{j}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm.
The update of weights $u_{i j}$ is performed as follows

- determine the centers (weighted average - follows from the criterion)

$$
c_{j}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i j}^{k} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i j}^{k}}
$$

- weights (are given as membership functions)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i j}=\frac{1}{\sum_{z=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\left\|x_{i}-c_{j}\right\|}{\left\|x_{i}-c_{z}\right\|}\right)^{\frac{2}{k-1}}} \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Algorithm
0 . Compute the initial matrix of membership $U$.

1. Construct the centers $c_{j}$ with existing matrix $U$.
2. Update the matrix $U$.
3. If $\left\|U_{\text {new }}-U_{\text {old }}\right\|<\epsilon$, END otherwise go to 1 .

## Program

```
// DM_cmeans.sce
// c-means (fuzzy)
// Remark: weights are computed in the function CMupdt
// --------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
// --------------------------------------------
function d=distXY(X,Y,p)
    // Distance of vectors X and Y
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    nX=size(X,2);
    nY=size(Y,2);
    d=zeros(nX,nY);
    for i=1:nX
        for j=1:nY
            d(i,j)=distance(X(:,i),Y(:,j),p);
        end
    end
endfunction
// --------------------------------------------
function [c,d]=CMupdt(c,y)
    // computation of weights and centers
    // c clusters
    // y data
    // distances d
    d=distXY(c,y); // distances of points and medoids
    // weights u
    v=ones(d)./(d+1e-8); // membership function
    u=fnorm(v,1); // normoalization over clusters
    // centers c
    un=fnorm(u,2); // normalization over points
    for j=1:size(c,2)
        c(:,j)=y*un(j,:)';
    end
endfunction
// ---------------------------------------------
function c=clusters(dn)
```

```
    // indexes of points for individual clusters
    // dn normed distances
    // c list of indexes of points for clusters
    [xxx,ic]=min(dn,'r');
    c=list();
    for j=1:size(dn,1)
        c(j)=find(ic==j); // clusters
    end
endfunction
// ---------------------------------------------
// SIMULATION
cS=list();
cS(1)=[1 1]'; // centers for simulation
cS(2)=[[5 2]';
cS(3)=[3 8]';
sd=.8; // stdev of points
al=fnorm([1 3 2]); // prababilities of modes
ny=length(cS(1)); // dimension of y
nc=length(al); // number of modes
nd=50; // length of data
md=3; // number of initial centers (points)
// SIMULATION
for t=1:nd
    i=sum(rand (1,1,'u')>cumsum(al))+1;
    y(:,t)=cS(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n');
end
// CLUSTERING - first step
p=2; // distance [(p-q)'*(p-q)]^(p/2)
j=fix(nd*rand(1,md,'u'))+1; // indexes of initial centers
c=y(:,j); // initial centers
[c,d0]=CMupdt(c,y); // first update of centers
sd0=sum(d0);
// CLUSTERING - iteration
for ite=1:1000
    [c,d]=CMupdt(c,y); // new centers (medoids)
    sd1(ite)=sum(d);
    if abs(sd1(ite)-sd0)<.001 // test of the end of iterations
        printf(' Počet iterací %d\n',ite)
        break
    end
    sd0=sd1(ite);
end
cL=clusters(d); // indexes of points in clusters
```

```
// RESULTS
tx=['r.';'m.';'g.'];
scf();
plot(y(1,:),y(2,:),'x','markersize',7)
for j=1:md
    if ~(isempty(y(1,cL(j))) | isempty(y(2,cL(j))))
    plot(y(1,cL(j)),y(2,cL(j)),tx(j),'markersize',6)
    end
end
plot(c(1,:),c(2,:),'s')
```


## Program description

Function definitions

- CMupdt computes distances of points from centers. First normalizes over clusters and then over points. Finally creates clusters using the $u$-weights.
- clusters constructs clusters according to the distances $m$.

Simulation - standard
Initialization - updating of clusters (new centers)
Iterations - update of clusters (new clusters). Check for end of the algorithm.

### 12.4 Density based clustering

## Dbscan

We have a set of data $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right\}$, where $x_{i} \in R^{n}$
We define:

- Distance of two points $x$ and $y$ and denote it by $d(x, y)$.
- $\epsilon$-neighborhood of point $x$

$$
O_{\epsilon}(x)=\{x \in X: d(x, y)<\epsilon\} .
$$

- Inner point is such one that has in its neighborhood at least given number of points.
- A point $y$ is accessible from the point $x$, if a sequence of inner points from $x$ to $y$ exists.
- A connection between points $x$ a $y$ exists, it both these points are accessible from some inner point.

Algorithm of clustering

1. For each point from $X$ find its $\epsilon$-neighborhood.
2. Define variables "clus" and "buff" (for storing points).
3. To "clus" put a single inner point and to "buff" its neighborhood.
4. Select one point (e.g. the first one) from "buff". Add it to "cluss" and its neighborhood add to "buff".
5. From "buff" remove all points that have already been used (those that are in some cluster).
6. Repeat from 4. until "buff" is not empty. Otherwise continue.
7. Remember the created cluster "clus" and prepare the variable for new one.
8. If there exists another free inner point, put it to "clus" and go to 4 . If not, stop the algorithm.

Clusters are formed by points that are connected.

## Program

```
// DM_dbscan.sce
// Dbscan
// -----------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
function b=board(x)
    // boards for graph
    b}=[\operatorname{min}(\textrm{x}(1,:))-.2\operatorname{max}(\textrm{x}(1,:))+.2\operatorname{min}(\textrm{x}(2,:))-.2\operatorname{max}(\textrm{x}(2,:))+.2]
endfunction
// SIMULATION
p=[.1 .2 .1 .4 .2]; // switchin parameter
th=[0 0; 0 3; 1 2; 2 1; 3 3]'; // centers
nd=100;
        // number of data
for i=1:nd
    j=sum(randu (1,1)>cumsum(p))+1;
    x(:,i)=.3*randn(2,1)+th(:,j);
end
bo=board (x);
// CLUSTERING
eP=.5; // radius of neighbourhood
mP=3; // minimum of points
// marking of inner points
V=[]; // inner points
X=list(); // neighbourhood of inner points
```

```
for i=1:nd
    X(i)=[];
    for j=setdiff(1:nd,i)
            if distance(x(:,i),x(:,j))<eP
                X(i)=[X(i) j]; // indexes of neighbourhood
            end
    end
    if length(X(i))>=mP
            V=[V i]; // inner points
    end
end
// creation of
C=list(); // clusters
b=V(1); // auxiliary variable
M=[]; // already used points
k=1; // label of actual cluster
for h=1:100 // cycle for various clusters
    CC=[]; // actual cluster
    while ~isempty(b) // cycle for one cluster
            b1=b(1); // one inner point
            CC=[CC b1]; // new point to cluster
            b=union(b,X(b1)); // add neighbourhood to b (auxiliary var.)
            b=setdiff(b,CC); // removing just used point from b
    end
    if isempty(CC)
            break // end of algorithm
    end
    M=[M CC]; // remembering points from a cluster
    Vr=setdiff(V,M); // inner points that are still not used
    if 1
            C(k)=gsort(CC,'g','i'); // actual cluster(with border)
    else
        C(k)=intersect(V,CC); // actual cluster(without border)
    end
    k=k+1; // next cluster
    b=Vr(1); // still not used point -> b
end
nC=length(C); // number of clusters
// RESULTS
tx=['.r';'.b';'.g';'.m';'.k'];
set(scf(),'position',[600 100 900 400])
subplot(121)
plot(x(1,:),x(2,:),'c.') // data
set(gca(),'data_bounds',bo)
title Data
subplot(122)
for i=1:nC
```

```
    plot(x(1,C(i)),x(2,C(i)),tx(i)) // clusters
end
set(gca(),'data_bounds',bo)
title Clusters
```


## Example

Let us have 10 points as demonstrated in the picture


Points are circles and are plotted in a net with unit step. Parameter eps $=1.1$, minimum number of points is $m p=2$. Then points

- $3,4,8,9,10$ are inner points
- $2,5,6,7$ are border points
- 1 is noise points.


## Cluster construction

If the points are two-dimensional, the best way is to draw them in a plane (as in the picture above) and to select the clusters manually. Start with arbitrary free inner point and add to it all connected points. Repeat until all points are classified.

Here the result is:
Cluster $1=\{2,3,4,5\}$ a Cluster $2=\{6,7,8,9,10\}$.
The point 1 is noise.

### 12.5 Hierarchical clustering

## Agglomerative clustering

There is a lot of variances of this method. We will show here one of them which is very simple. The algorithm is here:

1. All data points are denoted as clusters on the level 1 (with only one point).
2. Find two nearest clusters and join them together in one cluster. Its level is equal to the number of points in joined clusters.
3. The coordinates of the cluster lie on a connecting line of the coordinates of clusters to be joined in the proportion of their levels (the higher level the nearer).
4. Remember the clusters from which the new ones have been created (hierarchy).
5. Repeat from 2 until only one cluster remains.

## Remarks

1. The distance is Euclidean. It is computed between coordinates of clusters.
2. Coordinates of clusters on the level 1 are those of the points. For clusters generated by joining clusters with coordinates with levels $h_{i}$ a $h_{j}$ are coordinates given as follows:
The line connecting coordinates of the two clusters is

$$
x=x_{i}+t\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right), t \in(0,1)
$$

The point in the ratio of the levels (nearer to the cluster with higher level) is given by the parameter $t=\frac{h_{j}}{h_{i}+h_{j}}$. So

$$
x=x_{i}+\frac{h_{j}}{h_{i}+h_{j}}\left(x_{j}-x_{i}\right)=\frac{h_{i} x_{i}+h_{j} x_{j}}{h_{i}+h_{j}}
$$

3. Dendrogram is a special graph that shows the structure of hierarchical clustering as shown in the picture


The resulting clusters can be determined on the basis of the dendrogram which can be drawn
manually. The program gives the matrix $C$, where in each row the number of cluster, the distance of the coordinates of parents, and numbers of the parents can be found. The drawing will start in the cluster with the highest number (the last row of the matrix). In the graph, in the middle of the axis $x$ and in the level of the distance (the second column in the matrix) on the axis $y$, we draw a circle and write a number of the cluster inside it. In the matrix $C$, find the parents of the node and draw the circles with their numbers in a corresponding levels on the axis $y$ (the position on the axis $x$ is arbitrary). We repeat this procedure until we exhaust all clusters that have been created by joining, only clusters with level one remain.

According to the desired number we can proceed as follows in determining the clusters :
We draw a horizontal line that intersect vertical lines of the dendrogram. The line can be shifted up or down. The number of intersections of the horizontal line with the vertical ones gives the number of created clusters. The points belonging to individual clusters are in the axes $x$ below the intersection.

## Example

We have 5 points

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y_{1}$ | 4.6 | 4.0 | 2.4 | 1.0 | -1.2 |
| $y_{2}$ | -2.3 | 0.3 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 4.0 |



The matrix $C$ is

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
6, & 2.44, & 3, & 4 \\
7, & 2.67, & 1, & 2 \\
8, & 5.10, & 5, & 6 \\
9, & 8.58, & 7, & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

Construction of dendrogram starts with the last row (the cluster 9). We draw a circle in the middle of the axis $x$ and in the height 8.58. Its parents are clusters 7 and 8 . Those can be drawn to the left and right from the node 9 in heights 2.67 and 5.10 . We continue in this way until we obtain the dendrogram according to the following picture


If we cut the dendrogram so that we obtain three intersections, we obtain the clusters

$$
C_{1}=\{1,2\}, C_{2}=\{5\}, C_{3}=\{3,4\}
$$

A comparison with the data plot confirms the clusters created.
Two clusters would be $C_{1}=\{1,2\}$ a $C_{2}=\{3,4,5\}$.

## Program

```
// DM_hierAgl.sce
// Hierarchical clustering (agglomerative)
// --------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y)
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=sqrt(e'*e);
endfunction
```

// ==========================================================================1
// SIMULATION

```
m=list();
m(1)=[\begin{array}{ll}{1}&{1}\end{array}]'; // centers
m(2)=[[5 2}]';
m(3)=[[3 8]';
sd=2.5; // stdev of points
al=fnorm([lll 3 2]); // switching parametwr
ny=length(m(1)); // number of variables
nc=length(al); // number of modes
nd=5;
// number of data
for t=1:nd
    i=sum(rand (1,1,'u')>cumsum(al))+1;
    y(:,t)=m(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n'); // simulation
    c(1,t)=i;
end
// structre variable definition
for i=1:nd
    cL(i).y=y(:,i); // data point or cluster
    cL(i).n=1; // numb. of points in cluster
    cL(i).p=[]; // parents
    cL(i).v=[]; // distance
end
// ALGORITHM
nc=nd;
cc=1:nd;
for ite=1:(nd-1)
    lc=length(cc);
    d=zeros(lc,lc);
    for i=1:lc
        for j=1:lc
            if i<j
                d(i,j)=distance(cL(cc(i)).y,cL(cc(j)).y); // distances between points
            else
                d(i,j)=%inf; // symmetrical entries
            end
        end
    end
    // grouping points
    [v,ii]=min(d); // nearest point
    i1=ii(1);
    i2=ii(2);
    nc=nc+1;
    n1=cL(cc(i1)).n;
    n2=cL(cc(i2)).n;
    y1=cL(cc(i1)).y;
```

```
    y2=cL(cc(i2)).y;
    cL(nc).y=(n1*y1+n2*y2)/(n1+n2); // joining two points (clusters)
    cL(nc).n=n1+n2;
    cL(nc).p=cc(ii);
    cL(nc).v=v;
    cc(ii)=[];
    cc=[cc nc];
end
// determining clusters
C=[] ;
for i=(nd+1):(2*nd-1)
    C=[C; [i cL(i).v cL(i).p]];
end
// RESULTS
set(scf(),'position',[800 100 600 500])
tx=['.r';'.b';'.g';'.k';'.m';'.y'];
tn=['1','2','3','4,,'5'];
for i=1:nd
    plot(y(1,i),y(2,i),tx(i,:),'markersize', 8)
end
legend(tn(1:nd),-2);
disp(', node distance p1 p2 (p = parents)')
disp(C)
```


## Divisive clustering

In divisive clustering we proceed from top to bottom. We start with one cluster that contains all data points and subsequently we divide clusters so that there would be minimal point distances in clusters and maximal distances between clusters. For a given definition of the distance $D(x, y)$ we introduce following notions

Big cluster $C_{T}$ - is a cluster to be divided.
Left and right cluster $C_{L}$ a $C_{R}$ - clusters created by division
Distance between clusters $C_{L}$ and $C_{R}-I_{L R}$
Distance inside clusters - $U_{L}, U_{\dot{R}}$
Distance of the divided cluster - $U_{T}=I_{L R}+U_{L}+U_{R}$ (it is sum of distances from each point from $C_{L}$ to each point from $C_{R}$ - it is independent on division)

Task: to find $C_{L}$ a $C_{R}$ so that

$$
H_{L R}=(1-\alpha) \underbrace{I_{L R}}_{H_{1}}-\alpha \underbrace{\left[U_{L}+U_{R}\right]}_{H_{2}} \rightarrow \min
$$

This task is combinatorial and it is $n p$-hard. For its approximative numerical solution we will use the method called

## Avalanche method.

We have a cluster $C_{T}$ (in the beginning the whole data sample), which is to be divided.
We introduce $C_{L}$ as an empty set and $C_{R}$ as the whole cluster $C_{T}$.

1. In $C_{R}$ we find anti-medoid - i.e. the point which is maximally remote from all other points in the cluster $C_{R}$.
2. We shift anti-medoid into the cluster $C_{L}$ a compute the value of the criterion $H_{L R}$.
3. We try to add another point that is closer to the previously added.
4. If the value of the criterion increases we leave the point in $C_{L}$ and we go to the point 3 . If it dos not increase, the algorithm ends.

## Program

```
// DM_hierDiv.sce
// Hierarchical clustering (divisive)
// -------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
// --------------------------------------------
function d=distXY(X,Y,p)
    // Distance of vectors X and Y
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    nX=size(X,2);
    nY=size(Y,2);
    d=zeros(nX,nY);
    for i=1:nX
        for j=1:nY
            d(i,j)=distance(X(:,i),Y(:,j),p);
        end
    end
endfunction
function h=H1(cL,cR,y)
    // sum of mutual distances of points
    h=0;
    for i=cL
        for j=cR
            h=h+distance(y(:,i),y(:,j));
        end
    end
```

endfunction

```
// =====================================================================
// DATA
nd=120;
py=[.3 .5 .2];
th=[-2 6; 6 3; 8 15]';
cv=3;
for i=1:nd
    iy=sum(rand(1,1,'u')>cumsum(py))+1;
    y(:,i)=th(:,iy)+cv*rand(2,1,'n');
end
// ALGORITHM
c=list();
cL=1:size(y,2); // data indexes
for itA=1:100 // iterations between clusters
    cR=[];
    // initialization
    D=distXY(y(:,cL),y(:,cL));
    Da=sum(D,2);
    [xxx,cc1]=max(Da); // cc1 - pointer to anti-medoid
    c1=cL(cc1); // c1 - index of anti-meoid
    ci=cc1; // ci - storing of used clusters
    cL=setdiff(cL,c1); // old (all)
    cR=union(cR,c1); // new (is added)
    h2=H1(cL,c1,y);
    // iterations in one cluster
    for ite=1:100
        Dn=zeros(cL);
        for i=1:length(cL)
            Dn(i)=distance(y(:, c1),y(:,cL(i)));
        end
        [xxx,cc2]=min(Dn);
        c2=cL(cc2);
        ci=[ci cc2]; // is added for trial use
        cL=setdiff(cL,c2);
        cR=union(cR,c2);
        h1=H1(cL, cR,y);
        c1=c2; cc1=cc2;
        if h1<=h2
            ci=setdiff(ci,cc2); // if not used, it is removed
```

```
            break
        end
            h2=h1;
    end
    c(itA)=cR;
    if isempty(cL), break, end
end
// RESULTS
tx=['.r';'.b';'.g';'.k';'.m';'. y';'*r';'**';'*g'];
set(scf(),'position',[800 100 600 500])
for i=1:length(c)
    plot(y(1,c(i)),y(2,c(i)),tx(i))
end
c
```


## 13 Classification

By classification we mean assignment of a data record (point) to some cluster or more clusters each with its probability. Here, we mostly assume, that clusters have already been created by some clustering method.

### 13.1 K-nearest neighbour

It is a basic form of classification.
We have data $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$ with detected clusters. We can get them using some method of clustering. The task is: for a newly measured data point $y$, to assign it to some cluster.
The procedure of classification is following:

1. Compute the distance of the point $y$ from all points from $x_{i} \in X$.
2. Determine $k$ points $x_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, k$ nearest to $y$.
3. Assign $y$ to the cluster to which majority of the $k$ nearest points belongs.

## Remark

If there are more than one such cluster, take the first of them.

## Program

```
// DM_knearest.sce
// K nearest neighbour
// ----------------------------------------------
clc, clear, close, mode(0)
getd _func
function d=distance(x,y,p)
    // Euclidean distance (for p=1)
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    x=x(:); y=y(:);
    e=x-y;
    d=(e'*e)^(p/2);
endfunction
// --------------------------------------------
function d=distXY(X,Y,p)
    // Distance of vectors X and Y
    if argn(2)<3, p=1; end
    nX=size(X,2);
    nY=size(Y,2);
    d=zeros(nX,nY);
    for i=1:nX
        for j=1:nY
                d(i,j)=distance(X(:,i),Y(:,j),p);
            end
```

```
    end
endfunction
function tx=scfmark()
    // marks for plot
    tx=['.b';'.r';'.g';'.k';'.m';
            'xb';'xr';'xg';'xk';'xm';
            'db';'dr';'dg';'dk';'dm';
            'sb';'sr';'sg';'sk';'sm';
            '*b';'*r';'*g';'*k';'*m';
            'pb';'pr';'pg';'pk';'pm';
            '+b';'+r';'+g';'+k';'+m';
            'ob';'or';'og';'ok';'om'];
endfunction
function [h,f]=vals(a)
    // [h f]=vals(a) find different values of a variable
    // and their frequencies
    // h values and frequencies [vals;abs_freq]
    // f relative frequencies
    a=a(:)';
    b=gsort(a,'g','i');
    [v,m]=unique(b);
    dm=diff(m);
    n1=length(b)+1;
    n=[dm n1-m($)];
    f=n/sum(n);
    h=[v(:)';n];
    if sum(n) ~}=max(size(a))
        disp('Error: in vals.sci')
        return
    end
endfunction
// ====================================================================
// SIMULATION
m=list();
m(1)=[\begin{array}{ll}{1}&{1}\end{array}]';
m(2)=[[5 2}],'
m(3)=[3 8]';
sd=2.5;
al=fnorm([1 3 2]);
ny=length(m(1));
nc=length(al);
nd=130;
for t=1:nd
    i=sum(rand (1,1,'u')>cumsum(al))+1;
    y(:,t)=m(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n'); // data generation
```

```
    c(1,t)=i;
end
// ALGORITHM
k=15; // k nearest neighbour (this is k)
i=sum(rand(1,1,'u')>cumsum(al))+1;
z=m(i)+sd*rand(ny,1,'n'); // choice of a point
ic=i;
d=distXY(z,y);
[ds,j]=gsort(d,'g','i');
jk=j(1:k); // the nearest k points
ck=c(jk)
v=vals(ck);
[xxx,i]=max(v(2,:));
cz=v(1,i)
// RESULTS
tx=['.r';'.b';'.g';'.k';'.m';'.y';'*r';'*b';'*g'];
scf();
for j=1:length(m)
    i=find(c==j);
    plot(y(1,i),y(2,i),tx(j),'markersize',3)
end
legend('1','2','3');
plot(z(1),z(2),'ko','markersize', 8)
```


### 13.2 Decision trees

Let us have discrete data records $x_{t}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right]_{t}, t=1,2, \cdots, N$ and a pointer variable $c_{t} \in\{1,2, \cdots, m\}$ which is a label of the class (cluster) to which the record $x_{t}$ belongs.
The principle of tree construction if following:
We construct a matrix from the data records and add the pointer variable $c_{t}$ as its last column.
We have matrix $N \times(m+1)$

$$
X=\left[x_{t i}, c_{t}\right], t=1: N, i=1: m
$$

We chose some variable $x_{i}$ and according to its values we sort the remaining parts of the matrix into groups. Then, in each group we again select a variable and do the same. We repeat this procedure until each group contains only one value of the pointer. If some final group has more than one pointer value, the decision is probabilistic.
It is clear that the subsequent choice of variables is very important for a success of the task. However, the proper choice is a combinatorial task for which we need to use some heuristic methods. One of them is illustrated in the following example.

## Example

Let us have the following data

| $t$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

where $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are data records and $c$ is pointer variable.
It is evident, the variable $x_{1}$ decides about the classification (on the basis of only the variable $x_{1}$ we can decide about classes of all records). The tree for the order of variables $x_{1}-x_{2}$ is


If we swap the order of variables to $x_{2}-x_{1}$ we get the tree longer and more complex


However, both the trees led to deterministic decision making (the final percent are $100 \%$ ).
If we supply the data by one more record (the last row of the table)

| $t$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |

which is in contradiction with the others, the thee will be like this


In the second layer, the decision is probabilistic..

## Implementation of the task in KNIME

We take an example from web https://tanthiamhuat.files.wordpress.com/2015/10/decision-tree-tutorial-by-kardi-teknomo.pdf

## Example

The data bring information about the ways in which people go to work.

| sex | has a car? | fare | income | way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| M | 0 | L | N | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| M | 1 | L | S | $\mathbf{B}$ |
| Z | 1 | L | S | V |
| Z | 0 | L | N | B |
| M | 1 | L | S | B |
| M | 0 | S | S | V |
| Z | 1 | S | S | V |
| Z | 1 | D | V | A |
| M | 1 | D | S | A |
| Z | 1 | D | V | A |

kde "sex", "car", "fare" and "income" data records and "way" is a value of the pointer variable.
The values of variables are:
sex: $\mathrm{M}=\operatorname{man}, \mathrm{Z}=$ woman;
car: 0 - does not have, 1 - has
fare: L - low, $\mathrm{S}=$ medium, V - high;
way: B - bus, V - train, A - car.
The task is to decide about the way ( $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{A}$ ) on the basis of the values in data records.
We are going to show the solution in KNIME.

1. Data can be set into table e.g. in EXCEL and exported as csv table to disk.
```
pohlavi;auto;jizdne;prijem;cesta
M;0;L;N;B
M;1;I;S;
2;1;i;S;V
M;0;1;N;B
M;O;S;S;V
z;1;s;s;V
M;1;D;V;A
M;1;D;S;A
```

2. In KNIME we open a New KNIME workflow (icon new).
3. In KNIME in the left side there is a window Node Repository (here icons of various tasks are found).
(a) In IO we find Read and File reader and drag it by mouse to the working area. An icon of the Reader appears. We click on it by left mouse button (or twice by the right) and we obtain menu Configuration


Here (up) we can set the name of the data csv file. Most of the rest is set automatically.

## But important !!!

- The pointer variable must be set as string. The rest of variables can stay as they are.
- Strings are sorted by values the other by intervals.
- The change of the variable type can be done in the menu which can be obtained by clicking at the title of the variable in the data table below. After a click a menu window appears in which the type can be selected.
- W click once again at the icon of the task and select Execute (or press F7).
(b) Next, in the window Node Repository open the folder Analytics and Mining and select the tool Decision Tree Learner, drag it to working area and by mouse connect it with the Reader (by the black small triangles).
Press F7.
(c) Further, we can choose the tool Decision Tree Prediction, and possibly Decision Tree to Ruleset. The small triangles are always connected to Reader, small blue rectangles subsequently with the new tool (they generate the model of the task).

4. The results can be stored by the tool IO/Write/CCV Writer or directly checked by clicking by the left mouse and opening in Learner the menu Decision tree view
in Prediction the menu Classified Data
in Ruleset the menu Rules table

The overall view on the task in KNIME is following


## Remark

If the tree ends prematurely, it is necessary to set Number of records per node $=1$ in the menu Configure in the tool Decision Tree Learner. It means that the decision rule can be derived from only one data record.

### 13.3 Support vector machines

In this task, we are going to find hyperplane in the data space that separates the space into two sub-spaces, one with $y=1$ and second with $y=-1$. If the points are linearly separable, the result will be without errors. In addition, we demand so that the hyperplane would separate the points optimally. It means that the points should lay as far as possible from the hyperplane.

## Theory

We will demonstrate the task in a plane (with two variables). The data sample is $X=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{N}\right\}$ where $x_{i}=\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]_{i}$ is $i$-th data record. In this case, the hyperplane will be a line as indicated in the picture


Here we have a sample of five points $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$ and $x_{5}$. The separating line is drawn dashed and it separates the points whose attributes are "circles" (up) and "crosses" (down). The attributes can be expressed numerically by 1 and -1 as values of a variable $y$

| $x$ | $x_{1}$ | $x_{2}$ | $x_{3}$ | $x_{4}$ | $x_{5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $y$ | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 |

The points with $y=1$ form the set $B_{+}$, those with $y=-1$ the set $B_{-}$. So, it is

$$
B_{+}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}, \text { and } B_{-}=\left\{x_{2}, x_{5}\right\}
$$

The task is to find a line which separates the points and maximizes the distance of points from itself.
Let us denote the separating line as $\alpha^{\prime} x+\beta=0$. The parallel line above it is $\alpha^{\prime} x+\beta+\delta=0$ and below it $\alpha^{\prime} x+\beta-\delta=0$ for any $\delta>0$. All these equations are over-parameterized, i.e. can be divided by some nonzero number. We will divide them by $\delta$ and get
separating line

$$
w^{\prime} x+b=0
$$

lines above and below

$$
w^{\prime} x+b \pm 1=0
$$

For all $x_{1}$ above the above line we have the condition

$$
w^{\prime} x+b+1>0
$$

and below the below line the condition is

$$
w^{\prime} x+b-1<0
$$

The second condition can be multiplied by -1

$$
-\left(w^{\prime} x+b\right)+1>0
$$

and using the fact that $y_{i}=-1$ for all $x_{i}$ below and $y_{i}=1$ for $x_{i}$ above, we have

$$
y_{i}\left(w^{\prime} x_{i}+b\right)+1>0
$$

this single condition for all the points $x_{i}$ (compare the original condition above and the modified condition below). The equality holds for parallels as borders of the above and below area.

Now, we want the above and below lines would be as far as possible one from the other. The distance of parallel lines is measured as a distance of intersections of the lines and a vertical to them. Such a vertical has equation

$$
x=m+t \frac{w}{|w|}
$$

where $m$ is a fixed point, $x$ is arbitrary point on the vertical and $t$ is a parameter. $|w|$ is the length of $w$ and thus $\frac{w}{|w|}$ is a unit vector. In this case the distance of the points $x$ and $m$ is

$$
|x-m|=t \frac{|w|}{|w|}=t
$$

and it is directly equal to $t$. Now, we choose that $x$ is a point on the parallel and $m$ lies on the separating line. Then $x$ must fulfill the equation for the parallel and $m$ for the separating line. Tu this end we multiply the previous equation by $w^{\prime}$, add $b$ to both sides and we obtain

$$
|\underbrace{w^{\prime} x+b+1}_{=0(\text { parallel })}-1-\underbrace{w^{\prime} m+b}_{=0(\text { separ. })}|=+t \frac{w^{\prime} w}{|w|}
$$

and the result is

$$
1=t \frac{w^{\prime} w}{|w|}=t|w|
$$

The distance is

$$
t=\frac{1}{|w|}
$$

which is to be maximized. From it the task is

$$
|w| \rightarrow \min
$$

on condition that

$$
y_{i}\left(w^{\prime} x_{i}+b\right)+1>0
$$

As both $w$ and $b$ are to be optimized, the task is nonlinear and the solution rather complex.

## Program KNIME

Create tho following program scheme


Block 1: Reading data.
Block 6: Division of data to learning and training parts.
Block 2: Estimation (learning).
Block 3: Prediction (classification).
Block 15: Frequencies of classification (table: from / to).
Block 16: Write results to disk.
Block in the yellow frame: Show graph of the found clusters .

## Remarks

1. The results can be found after clicking on the task icon down in the menu.
2. The data file can be changed directly on disk. If there are new variables (not only values), it is necessary to perform new Configuration otherwise only to run Execute.
3. If the results are stored on disk, we have a possibility to investigate them in some other program - probably in Excel. To this end it is necessary to:
(a) Set semicolon as data delimiter - in menu menu of the icon of CSV Writer, in the item Configure / Advanced.
(b) In the menu Configure / Settings it is good to set Overwrite in the item If file exists

## Scatter plot



Table of classifications

| Row ID | 15 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

## Part III

## Supplements

## 14 Competition to squares

For normal distribution it is equivalent to decomposition of joint pdf to conditional and marginal ones. Is useful for integration.

## Scalar case

For scalar variables $x$ a $y$ and constants $a, b, c$ it holds

$$
\begin{gathered}
a x^{2}+2 b x y+c y^{2}=a\left[x^{2}+2 x \frac{b}{a} y+\left(\frac{b}{a} y\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{b}{a} y\right)^{2}\right]+c y^{2}= \\
a\left(x+\frac{b}{a} y\right)^{2}+c y^{2}-\frac{b^{2}}{a} y^{2}=a\left(x+\frac{b}{a} y\right)^{2}+\frac{a c-b^{2}}{a} y^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Vector case

For variables $x$ a (column vectors) and constant matrices $A, B, C$ with corresponding dimensions, $A$ a $C$ symmetric, it holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime} A x+2 x^{\prime} B y+y^{\prime} C y & =x^{\prime} A x+2 x^{\prime} A A^{-1} B y+\left(A^{-1} B y\right)^{\prime} A A^{-1} B y-\left(A^{-1} B y\right)^{\prime} A A^{-1} B y+y^{\prime} C y= \\
& =\underbrace{\left(x+A^{-1} B y\right)^{\prime} A\left(x+A^{-1} B y\right)}_{\text {kvadrát }}+\underbrace{y^{\prime}\left(C-B^{\prime} A^{-1} B\right) y}_{\text {zbytek }} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Remark

For vectors it holds ax corresponds to $x^{\prime} A x$.

## 15 Natural conditions of control

Assumption, that the information about unknown parameter $\Theta$ and used for construction of new control variable $u_{t}$ is the same and it is gained only from data $d(t-1)=\{y(t-1), u(t-1)\}$. Then it holds

$$
f\left(\Theta \mid u_{t}, d(t-1)\right)=f(\Theta \mid d(t-1)) .
$$

I.e. now new information about $\Theta$ can be extracted from $u_{t}$ that that, which already is in $d(t-1)$.

Using Bayes rule, we can also write

$$
\left.f\left(u_{t} \mid \Theta, d(t-1)\right)\right)=f\left(u_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right)
$$

## Remark

Natural Conditions of Control are abbreviates as NCC.

## 16 Bayes rule

## Derivation

$$
f(A, B \mid C)= \begin{cases}f(A \mid B, C) f(B \mid C) & \text { z jedné strany, nebo } \\ f(B \mid A, C) f(A \mid C) & \text { z druhé strany. }\end{cases}
$$

By comparison of both right hand sides we get

$$
f(A \mid B, C) f(B \mid C)=f(B \mid A, C) f(A \mid C)
$$

From it

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(B \mid A, C)=\frac{f(A \mid B, C) f(B \mid C)}{f(A \mid C)} \tag{16.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be written as

$$
f(A \mid B, C) \propto f(A \mid B, C) f(B \mid C)
$$

where the constant is hidden in the proportional sign $\propto$.

## Application

In estimation we have

- $A$ is the output $y_{t}$,
- $B$ are parameters $\Theta$ and
- Cold data $d(t-1)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left\{u_{t}, d(t-1)\right\}\right)$.

In this way, the Bayes rule reads

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t))=\frac{f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right) f(\Theta \mid d(t-1))}{f\left(y_{t} \mid d(t-1)\right)}
$$

## Remarks

1. For model it holds $f\left(y_{t} \mid u_{t}, d(t-1), \Theta\right)=f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)$.
2. The natural conditions $f\left(\Theta \mid u_{t}, d(t-1)\right)=f(\Theta \mid d(t-1))$ are applied.

## 17 Categorical distribution

The probability function of categorical distribution is

| $y$ | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $n_{l}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(y)$ | $p_{1}$ | $p_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $p_{n_{l}}$ |,

where $p_{i}$ are probabilities, $p_{i} \geq 0, i=1,2, \cdots, n_{l}$ a $\sum_{i=1}^{n_{l}} p_{i}=1$.
Alternative form for the pf is

$$
f(y)=p_{y}, y=1,2, \cdots, n_{l}
$$

Model of discrete system is

$$
f(y \mid \psi, \Theta)=\Theta_{y \mid \psi}
$$

and it can be expressed in the form of table (for $y \in\{1,2\}$ and $\psi=[u, v]^{\prime}$, where $u, v \in\{1,2\}$

$$
f(y \mid u, v)
$$

| $[u, v]$ | $y=1$ | $y=2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 11}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 11}$ |
| 1,2 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 12}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 12}$ |
| 2,1 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 21}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 21}$ |
| 2,2 | $\Theta_{1 \mid 22}$ | $\Theta_{2 \mid 22}$ |

$\Theta_{i \mid j k}$ are conditional probabilities $\Theta_{i \mid j k} \geq 0, \forall i, j, k, \sum_{i=1}^{2} \Theta_{i \mid j k}=1, \forall j, k$.
For the purpose of estimation it is useful to express the model in so called product form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(y \mid \psi, \Theta)=\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)} \tag{17.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is index, $\varphi$ is multiindex (vector index), $y^{*}, \psi^{*}$ domains of variables and $\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)$ is Dirac function, i.e. it is one for $i|\varphi=y| \psi$ and zero otherwise.

## 18 Dirichlet distribution

A suitable distribution of model parameters in the case when model is categorical, is the Dirichlet one.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\Theta \mid d(t))=\frac{1}{B\left(\nu_{t}\right)} \prod_{i \in y^{*}} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\nu_{i \mid \varphi ; t}} \tag{18.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here
$\nu_{t}$ is the statistics (with the same structure as the model has),
$B(\nu)$ is a multivariate beta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(\nu)=\prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \frac{\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Gamma\left(\nu_{i \mid \varphi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \varphi}\right)} \tag{18.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is gamma function defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} \exp (-t) d t \tag{18.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for which it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(x+1)=x \Gamma(x), x \in R^{+} \tag{18.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 19 Normal distribution

We have normal regression model with regression vector $\psi_{t}$, regression coefficients $\theta$ and noise variance $r$, We denote $\Theta=\{\theta, r\}$. The model equation is

$$
y_{t}=\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta+e_{t}, \quad e_{t} \sim N(0, r)
$$

The conditional pdf of the model is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{19.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expectation

$$
E\left[y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right]=\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta
$$

variance

$$
D\left[y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right]=r
$$

For the purpose of estimation it is advantageous to modify the model in the following way:

- exponent is divided as follows

$$
y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta=-\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{t} \\
\psi_{t}
\end{array}\right]=-\left[y_{t} \psi_{t}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]
$$

(the sign minus is formal).

- the square in the exponent is written as row times column

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)^{2}=\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)\left(y_{t}-\psi_{t}^{\prime} \theta\right)= \\
=\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
y_{t} \\
\psi_{t}
\end{array}\right]\left[y_{t} \psi_{t}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]=\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right] D_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

where $D_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}y_{t} \\ \psi_{t}\end{array}\right]\left[y_{t} \psi_{t}\right]$ is so called data matrix.
Model (19.1) now has the form

$$
f\left(y_{t} \mid \psi_{t}, \Theta\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} r^{-0.5} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right] D_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1  \tag{19.2}\\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

## 20 Inverse Gauss-Wishart distribution

Its abbreviation is $G i W$
The distribution has the form

$$
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa_{t}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right] V_{t}\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1  \tag{20.1}\\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}
$$

where $\kappa_{t}$ and $V_{t}$ are statistics ( $\kappa_{t}$ is the counter, $V_{t}$ is the information matrix).
Matrix $V_{t}$ is symmetric and positive definite and for computation of parameter point estimates it can be decomposed to sub-matrices

$$
V_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
V_{y} & V_{y \psi}^{\prime}  \tag{20.2}\\
V_{y \psi} & V_{\psi}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where (for $y_{t}$ scalar) $V_{y}$ is a number, $V_{y \psi}$ is a column vector and $V_{\psi}$ is a rectangle matrix.

## 21 Point estimate with quadratic criterion

The optimal point estimates must minimize the posted criterion. Here it is quadratic one

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\hat{\Theta}_{t}} E\left[\left(\Theta-\hat{\Theta}_{t}\right)^{2} \mid d(t)\right] . \tag{21.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We perform the square and than apply the expectation. Then we are going to use completion to square in $\hat{\Theta}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\min _{\hat{\Theta}_{t}} E\left[\Theta^{2}-2 \hat{\Theta}_{t} \Theta+\hat{\Theta}_{t}^{2} \mid d(t)\right]= \\
=\min _{\hat{\Theta}_{t}}\left\{E\left[\Theta^{2} \mid d(t)\right]-2 \hat{\Theta}_{t} E[\Theta \mid d(t)]+\hat{\Theta}_{t}^{2}\right\}=* 1 * \\
\hat{\Theta}_{t} \text { is a deterministic number } \\
* 1 *=\min _{\hat{\Theta}_{t}}\left\{E\left[\Theta^{2} \mid d(t)\right]-E[\Theta \mid d(t)]^{2}+E[\Theta \mid d(t)]^{2}-2 \hat{\Theta}_{t} E[\Theta \mid d(t)]+\hat{\Theta}_{t}^{2}\right\}=* 2 * \\
\text { we used the formula } D[\Theta]=E\left[\Theta^{2}\right]-E[\Theta]^{2} \text { valid for the variance } \\
* 2 *=\min _{\hat{\Theta}_{t}}\left\{D[\Theta \mid d(t)]+\left(\hat{\Theta}_{t}-E[\Theta \mid d(t)]\right)^{2}\right\}=D[\Theta \mid d(t)]
\end{gathered}
$$

the minimum is

$$
\hat{\Theta}_{t}=E[\Theta \mid d(t)]
$$

as $D[\Theta \mid d(t)]$ is a constant with respect to $\hat{\Theta}_{t}$.

## 22 Point estimates of regression model parameters

MAP (Maximum Aposteriori Probability) estimation for normal regression model practically corresponds to minimization of quadratic criterion.

We look for maximum posterior pdf (which is a result of Bayesian estimation) (20.1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(\Theta \mid d(t)) \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right] V\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}= \\
\quad=r^{-0.5 \kappa} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left(V_{y}-2 \theta^{\prime} V_{y \psi}+\theta^{\prime} V_{\psi} \theta\right)\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

where we used the division of information vector $V$ according to (20.2).
First we age going to estimate $\theta$, i.e. to differentiate with respect to $\theta$ and lay the result equal to zero. It is a derivation of vectors according to vectors.

$$
\frac{\partial f(\{\theta, r\} \mid d(t), r)}{\partial \theta} \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 r}\left[-1 \theta^{\prime}\right] V\left[\begin{array}{c}
-1 \\
\theta
\end{array}\right]\right\}\left(\frac{-1}{2 r}\right)\left(-2 V_{y \psi}+2 V_{\psi} \theta\right)=0
$$

From it he get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}=V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi} \tag{22.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We substitute the result into the posterior pdf and we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda=V_{y}-2 \hat{\theta}^{\prime} V_{y \psi}+\hat{\theta}^{\prime} V_{\psi} \hat{\theta}= \\
=V_{y}-2 V_{y \psi}^{\prime} V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi}+V_{y \psi}^{\prime} V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{\psi} V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=V_{y}-V_{y \psi}^{\prime} V_{\psi}^{-1} V_{y \psi} \tag{22.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The posterior pdf with the optimal point estimate of regression coefficient is(22.1)

$$
f(r \mid d(t)) \propto r^{-0.5 \kappa} \exp \left\{-\frac{\Lambda}{2 r}\right\}
$$

We differentiate it and lay equal to zero

$$
-\kappa \frac{1}{2 r}+\Lambda \frac{1}{r^{2}}=0
$$

From it we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}=\frac{\Lambda}{\kappa} . \tag{22.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{\theta}$ a $\hat{r}$ are point estimates which we are seeking for.

## 23 Point estimates of categorical model parameters

Here, the point estimates of parameters are given by a mere normalization of rows of or the statistics matrix $\nu_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Theta}_{y \mid \psi ; t}=\frac{\nu_{y \mid \psi ; t}}{\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi ; t}}, \forall y \in y^{*} \mathrm{a} \psi \in \psi^{*} \tag{23.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The point estimate is an expectation of parameter with posterior pdf (18.1) - for lucidity we skip the time index $t$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{\Theta}_{y \mid \psi}=E\left[\Theta_{y \mid \psi} \mid d(t)\right]=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Theta_{y \mid \psi} f(\Theta \mid d(t)) d \Theta= \\
\quad=\frac{1}{B(\nu)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Theta_{y \mid \psi} \prod_{i \in y^{*}} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\nu_{i \mid \varphi}} d \Theta=* 1 *
\end{gathered}
$$

where beta function $B$ is given in (18.2). Formally we express the model in a product form (17.1)

$$
\Theta_{y \mid \psi}=\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)}
$$

and substitute. We continue

$$
\begin{gathered}
* 1 *=\frac{1}{B\left(\nu_{t}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in y^{*}} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\nu_{i \mid \varphi}+\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)} d \Theta= \\
=\frac{1}{\prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} B\left(\nu_{\varphi}\right)} \prod_{\varphi \in \psi^{*}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\nu_{i \mid \varphi}+\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)} d \Theta_{y \mid \psi}=* 2 *,
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
B\left(\nu_{\varphi}\right)=\frac{\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Gamma\left(\nu_{i \mid \varphi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \varphi}\right)} \text { according to }(18.2)
$$

we use the assumption of independence between parameters from different components.
For individual components it holds

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Theta_{i \mid \varphi}^{\nu_{i \mid \varphi}+\delta(i|\varphi, y| \psi)} d \Theta_{y \mid \psi}= \begin{cases}B\left(\nu_{\varphi}\right) & \text { pro } \delta=0 \\ B\left(\nu_{\psi}+1\right) & \text { pro } \delta=1\end{cases}
$$

The terms with $\delta=0$ are canceled

$$
* 2 *=\frac{B\left(\nu_{\psi}+\delta(i, y)\right)}{B\left(\nu_{\psi}\right)}=\frac{\frac{\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Gamma\left(\nu_{i \mid \psi}+\delta(i, y)\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}+1\right)}}{\frac{\prod_{i \in y^{*}} \Gamma\left(\nu_{i \mid \psi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}\right)}}=* 3 * .
$$

and again the terms for which $y \neq i$ are canceled, too, and we get

$$
* 3 *=\frac{\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{y \mid \psi}+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}+1\right)}}{\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{y \mid \psi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}\right)}}=\frac{\frac{\nu_{y \mid \psi}}{\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{y \mid \psi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}\right)}}{\frac{\Gamma\left(\nu_{y \mid \psi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}\right)}}=\frac{\nu_{y \mid \psi}}{\sum_{i \in y^{*}} \nu_{i \mid \psi}} .
$$

In the above derivation we also have used the properties of the gamma function (18.4).
This completes the proof of (23.1).

## 24 Logistic regression in details

## Derivative of likelihood for logistic regression

Derivative of logarithm for likelihood $\ln L$ with the model(??) with respect to $\Theta$ is

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \ln L(\Theta)=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\left[y_{\tau} \psi_{\tau}-\frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}{1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)} \psi_{\tau}\right]=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\left(y_{\tau}-p_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}
$$

where according to (??) $z_{\tau}=\psi_{\tau} \Theta$ a and $\operatorname{so} d z_{\tau} / d \Theta=\psi_{\tau}$. Further we denote

$$
p_{\tau}=\frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}{1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}=P\left(y_{t}=1 \mid \psi_{\tau}, \Theta\right)
$$

The second derivativeln $L$ with respect to $\Theta$ is

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \Theta^{2}} \ln L(\Theta)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \sum_{\tau=1}^{t}\left(y_{\tau}-p_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} p_{\tau} \psi_{\tau}=\sum_{\tau=1}^{t} p_{\tau}\left(1-p_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime} \psi_{\tau}
$$

as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} p_{\tau}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \Theta} \frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}{1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}=\frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime}\left(1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)\right)-\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right) \exp \left(z_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime}}{\left(1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)\right)^{2}}= \\
& \quad=\frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime}}{\left(1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)\right)^{2}}=\left(\frac{\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}{1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)} \frac{1}{1+\exp \left(z_{\tau}\right)}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime}=p_{\tau}\left(1-p_{\tau}\right) \psi_{\tau}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

For numerical maximization it is advantageous to use Newton algorithm (both the derivatives are analytical).

## Newton algorithm

Let us denote $g(x)$ the function to be minimized; here $x=\left[x_{1}, x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right]^{\prime}$. The gradient $g^{\prime}$ and Hess matrix $g^{\prime \prime}$ are

$$
g^{\prime}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\cdots \\
\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
g^{\prime \prime}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x^{2} \partial x_{n}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{n}} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{n} \partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial x_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The algorithm starts at the point $x^{(0)}$ and generates further points $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \cdots$ as follows:
We take Taylor expansion of $g$ at $x^{(i)}$ and use its first three terms (quadratic function)

$$
g(x) \doteq g\left(x^{(i)}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)\left(x-x^{(i)}\right)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)\left(x-x^{(i)}\right)^{2}
$$

For the next point $x^{(i+1)}$ we minimize this quadratic function

$$
g^{\prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)+g^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)\left(x^{(i+1)}-x^{(i)}\right)=0
$$

from which we have

$$
x^{(i+1)}=x^{(i)}-\frac{g^{\prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)}{g^{\prime \prime}\left(x^{(i)}\right)} .
$$

We repeat it till the estimates stabilize.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The computations are based on square completion in exponents of Gaussian densities.

